

COURT OF APPEAL, APPELLATE DISTRICT, DIVISION	Court of Appeal Case Number: H035035 & H035318
ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, state bar number, and address): Geoffrey Mangers, 4124 Ross Park Dr., San Jose, CA 95118-1761 My website case document filing repository: PUBLICFORALL.COM (sorry late on completing it, am struggling to update it)	Superior Court Case Number: 1-08-CV-109152
TELEPHONE NO.: (408) 978-8646 FAX NO. (Optional): E-MAIL ADDRESS (Optional): geoffrey@mangers.org ATTORNEY FOR (Name): in pro per (because I can't afford a lawyer)	FOR COURT USE ONLY
APPELLANT: Geoffrey Mangers	
RESPONDENT: All parties (served or not) in 108-CV-109152	
APPLICATION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE BRIEF (CIVIL CASE)	

Notice: Please read *Information on Appeal Procedures for Unlimited Civil Cases* (Judicial Council form APP-001) before completing this form.

1. I (name): Geoffrey Mangers
request that the time to file appellant's opening brief (AOB) respondent's brief (RB) appellant's reply brief (ARB), now due on (date): May 19, 2010 be extended to (date): 30 days from whence all my CCP §382 parties join me and the court grants me a complex designation or can explain to me how to serve the rest of the parties
2. I have have not received a rule 8.220 notice.
3. I have received: I need to enjoin in this suit without it.
1 extension for the due date of April 5 on H035035
but none on H035318

"5. The reason I need an extension to file this brief is.."
on www.publicforall.com

I understand that the Appeal's court can handle complex appeals. Which leads me to believe they can view my case document repository at www.publicforall.com that Judge Cabrinha read into the record encouraging me to continue with. Whereupon they will find the answer to this question (#5) which (lacking space upon this form) requires another one (Attachment AOB-2). And becomes too expensive and time consuming for me to serve upon all the parties I must. So I will serve this upon the court. And also upon www.publicforall.com. For all to see.

7. The record in case H035035 is:	<u>Volumes (#)</u>	<u>Pages (#)</u>	<u>Date filed</u>
Appendix/Clerks Transcript:	<u>2</u>	<u>460</u>	<u>Jan. 11, 2010</u>
Reporters Transcript:	<u>3</u>	<u>48 (thus far)</u>	_____
Augmentation/other:	<u>Not yet filed</u>	_____	-

8. For attorneys filing application on behalf of client:
 I certify that I have delivered a copy of this application to my client (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.60).
I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the information above is true and correct.
Date: May 19, 2010

Geoffrey Mangers
(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)



(SIGNATURE OF PARTY OR ATTORNEY)

EXTENSION OF TIME IS: **ORDER**

Granted to _____

Denied

Date: _____
(SIGNATURE OF PRESIDING JUSTICE)

CASE NAME: G. Mangers Vs The County Of Santa Clara, Et Al	CASE NUMBER: H035035 & H035318
--	-----------------------------------

PROOF OF SERVICE

Mail **Personal Service**

1. At the time of service I was at least 18 years of age and not a party to this legal action.
2. My residence or business address is (*specify*):

4124 Ross Park Dr.
San Jose, CA 95118-1761
3. I mailed or personally delivered a copy of the *Application for Extension of Time to File Brief (Civil Case)* as follows (*complete either a or b*):
 - a. **Mail**. I am a resident of or employed in the county where the mailing occurred.
 - (1) I enclosed a copy in an envelope **and**
 - (a) **deposited** the sealed envelope with the United States Postal Service, with the postage fully prepaid.
 - (b) 3 envelopes were addressed and mailed as follows. Service was also made upon www.publicforall.com for all to see.

Barry C. Marsh, Esq. Scott Kanter, Esq. Hinshaw, Draa, Marsh, Still & Hinshaw 12901 Saratoga Ave Saratoga, CA 95070-9998
--

Miguel Marquez, Esq. Mark Bernal, Esq. Office of the County Counsel 70 West Hedding St. (East Wing, 9th Fl.) San Jose, CA 95110

The Board of Directors of UnitedHealth Group (PacifiCare owner) c/o Chair of the Board UnitedHealth Group Center, 9900 Bren Rd. East, Minnetonka, MN 55343
--

(c) Date of mailing: **May 19, 2010**
 (d) Place of mailing (*city and state*): **San Jose, California**

Barry Marsh (AMR) and Mark Bernal (County) told me on Aug. 26, 2009 in front of Judge Cabrinha that they'd be willing to accept service by myself and the court agreed to it. But I think it improper to serve only them leaving out everyone else in this class action suit. To wit, the insurance interests (which include the state itself i.e. Medi-Cal). I'd like to know how the court expects an indigent to do all this without a complex designation.

"See me"
 the court told me (and I think twice so). And I am negligent.
 "How do I serve the rest of my defendants?" I asked
 (hoping the court understood the purpose of CCP §382)
 "I don't know" the court sighed.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Date: **May 19, 2010**

Geoffrey Mangers

 (TYPE OR PRINT NAME)



 (SIGNATURE OF DECLARANT)

SHORT TITLE:

G. Mangers Vs The County Of Santa Clara, Et Al

CASE NUMBER:

1-08-CV-109152

ATTACHMENT (Number): AOB - 2 Page 1 of 3

(This Attachment may be used with any Judicial Council form.)

(Add pages as required)

1
2
3 * My delays are personally very frustrating to me. But prejudice only me and the interests of
4 my class. And the unserved insurance companies who also are victims in all this.

5 * I still need to properly serve UnitedHealth Group (PacifiCare) in their CCP §382 interest as
6 plaintiffs who should be at my side opposite AMR in all this. To proceed without having their
7 interests served I believe is highly improper.

8 * Also, I can't afford the transcript for my last hearing I'd like included.
9 It will take awhile until I can.

10 * I think I might be making headway on getting a board member of a major hospital to join me.
11

12 * The procedural issues still haven't been resolved. H035035 and H035318 are

13 ***THE SAME CASE.***

14 Neither have the problems with the clerk's transcript (still flawed and incomplete).

15 Take this one on the APP-003:

16 *"An agreed statement under rule.." .. "A settled statement under rule.."*

17 In this day and age I'd like to know why reporters in the lower courts aren't required to record it
18 just like (as I understand) the Appeals courts do.

19 * I still need to complete service on many parties, indigent and

20 ***WITHOUT A COMPLEX DESIGNATION***

21 * I still need to complete some missing APP-002's and APP-004's

22 * The POS-010 is confusing which says:

23 "5. I served the party .. by mail and acknowledgment of receipt of service. I mailed the documents
24 listed in item 2 to the party, to the address shown in item 4, by first-class mail, postage prepaid, ..

25 (c3) with two copies of the Notice and Acknowledgment of Receipt
26 and a postage-paid return envelope addressed to me."

27 Who is the "me". The person signing and mailing the POS-010? I can't.

Who should the "postage-paid return envelope addressed to me" be addressed to?

(If the item that this Attachment concerns is made under penalty of perjury, all statements in this Attachment are made under
penalty of perjury.)

SHORT TITLE:

G. Mangers Vs The County Of Santa Clara, Et Al

CASE NUMBER:

1-08-CV-109152

ATTACHMENT (Number): _ AOB - 2 _____ Page 2 of 3

(This Attachment may be used with any Judicial Council form.)

(Add pages as required)

1
2
3
4 * I need to explain some minor errors in the complaint. I'll begin with this filing.

5 It seems proper that these corrections also be filed with the lower court.

6 I told Barry Marsh in a voice mail a while back about the mistake on p. 22, it's an easy one to
7 make. The whole process with AMR is so swift with no one introducing themselves that it was
8 easy to mistake the unknown dominating woman in their van "Now you listen to me!" with the
9 dominating one (just before my arm was strapped to the gurney) who put a paper for me to sign
10 quickly without any time for questions, that I looked at trying to quickly read and comprehend the
11 meaning of it when it was immediately pulled away from me telling me something about how I
12 was unable to sign it. Which is exactly what she wrote in the area for my signature.

13 Subsequently I discovered from the medical records that this was not the AMR paramedic but
14 Linda Neuberger RN, a Valley Med staff member.

15 Further I told Barry Marsh in this voice mail that if it were my ambulance company I'd want to
16 have nothing whatever to do with these transports and would be joining me as plaintiff and
17 challenging any laws that required me to do it. Anyway I tend to doubt William Sanger (that
18 James Gottstein served with the complaint) knows anything whatever about it. And Barry Marsh
19 never did answer my question: "*Jim Gottstein, does that ring a bell?*" I asked him.

20 Also when I first met him personally (arriving early at his next court appearance and explaining it
21 was OK to talk to me) I asked him if he knew what PHI was. He didn't. It's on the 4th paragraph
22 of the first page of the brief James Gottstein served on William Sanger. It's *still* not clear to me
23 as to whether the AMR counsel has received the original complaint served on William Sanger by
24 James Gottstein. Or if they have have actually read it.

25
26 * There seems to be some controversy about as to whether I've filed my SAC. I'll argue that I
27 did indeed just before the deadline required of me:

28 "*Suffice it to say that if I'm to be held to this deadline, that I simply wish to file what I already have.*
29 *The entirety of what I have already filed in the 1-08-CV-109152 file itself since last March 27, 2008.*
30 *I believe it speaks for itself.*"

31 Explaining later at the court in an appearance that I'll need to correct my errors by addition.

32 After pointing out to it that: "*Demurrers are not allowed in all judicial venues*".

33 * I'm repeating the earlier filing in case it was lost or missed and for the benefit of UHC..

34 (If the item that this Attachment concerns is made under penalty of perjury, all statements in this Attachment are made under
35 penalty of perjury.)

SHORT TITLE:

G. Mangers Vs The County Of Santa Clara, Et Al

CASE NUMBER:

1-08-CV-109152

ATTACHMENT (Number): _ AOB - 2 _____ Page 3 of 3

(This Attachment may be used with any Judicial Council form.) (Add pages as required)

1 "5. The reason I need an extension to file this brief is.."

2 A complex case -- misdesignated as not, is almost impossible to even file, let alone appeal.
3 And this case *highly* complex with many intertwined issues. And been misjoined,
4 misunderstood, and misdesignated from the outset. Plaguing it with procedural problems
5 (already mentioned earlier) that have never been properly addressed or resolved by an
6 overwhelmed and impacted lower court and inadequate Self-Service Center (also one of my
7 issues in this suit). Further complicated by the lower court's request that I discontinue serving
8 before I could even begin to properly enjoin my CCP §382 parties (e.g. health insurance
9 companies, Medi-Cal, etc.) whose assistance, being a novice, I'm in critical need of regarding
10 (e.g.) the SAC and now the AOB. H035035 has separated me from them--(now in H035318)
11 and their support★. Which I'm truly in need of being a novice and indigent. The printing and
mailing costs alone thus far have me at my limit. Which (I believe) would easily be solved with
a complex designation that I've also been denied. The Sheriff's department is also refusing
(once again) to provide any further service on mailings. And it's not always easy to find
someone courageous enough and willing to show up each time and spend the time to sign the
proofs and mail the envelopes.

12 "5. The reason I need an extension .. is.."

13 ★: I need time be able to serve their (§382) interests in this and explain to them why they
14 should have one. Reminding them of their suit against National Medical Enterprises back in
1992. To my knowledge no one's ever brought a class action suit against the county on the
issues I'm raising (also by our Civil Grand Jury back in 2003 and which was totally ignored).

15 "5. The reason.." Properly (I'd think) this notice (the APP-006) as well as the AOB should be
16 served on *all* the parties to be joined in 1-08-CV-109152. *Simultaneously*. And I don't know
17 how to without a complex designation -- hoping my website document repository (in the
18 meantime) will be of assistance in the process.

19 "5. The reason.." I still need to augment the record (wish the reporters would stick a microphone
20 on those laptops of theirs) regarding the transcripts. Few of which I can afford to pay for
21 anyway. Losing out on critical information I'll need in the writing of my AOB.

22 "5. The reason.." I still haven't been able to properly complete sending out all of the Notice of
23 Appeals (even catalog it). Let alone been able to serve anyone further with the complaint itself.

24 "5. The reason.." I have another statute of limitations (from May 2007) deadline quickly coming
25 up on me this May 17 in which I need to make sure I've properly served all the parties involved.
26 And still don't know the name of the officer who picked me up, the EPS doctor who consigned
27 me to El Camino Hospital▲ or the AMR paramedics who participated in it. And their lines of
supervision *et. al.* and "*Does*" all.

"5. The reason.." This involves a Mental Health issue. My own. It would make *anyone* ill.

▲: who've I've been hoping to flip into joining me as §382 plaintiffs in this. I understand their Board is
publicly elected. I need to give another speech at their next board meeting. Suggesting they get a lawyer
who knows something about Mental Health and CCP §382. Explaining I'm taking myself back to them
and have them fix the botched job they did on me while "*I'm still under warrantee*".

(If the item that this Attachment concerns is made under penalty of perjury, all statements in this Attachment are made under
penalty of perjury.)