

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
SIXTH APPELATE DISTRICT

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA

The Petition of Geoffrey Lloyd Mangers

(and, if necessary, to be filed as an Exhibit)

This case is complex & misdesignated as not -- it begins with an ex-parte hearing "See me" the court told me.

Complex case website document repository: **publicforall.com** (that I'm way way behind on updating)

Appellant:

Geoffrey Lloyd Mangers,

4124 Ross Park Dr., San Jose, CA 95118-1761

Tel: (408) 978-8646 Email: geoffrey@mangers.org

Attorney to assist Appellant: to be provided by

The Lanchester Settlement (\$3.9 million) p. 7

of which I am a member and if they don't help me, I will
be objecting to it. As well as the wording of CCP §384.

vs.

Respondent,

will be found in my:

Petition for Writ of Mandate

California Supreme Court:

Case #'s: S185817, S192334, S192335

Sixth District Appeals Court

Case #'s: H035035 & H035318:

(they are the same case -- misjoined,
separating me from my CCP §382 support)

Superior Court

Case #'s: 1-12-MH-037559 (presently active)

1-08-CV-109152 (in remittitur, to be recalled)

also: 1-07-CV086077, 1-07-CV086085, 1-07-CV086087

Petition for Writ of Certiorari:

(Why should *anyone* have to pay \$1545 to Petition for a right already guaranteed to them by the Constitution and under the law anyway?)

Petition for Writ of Prohibition:

(A Privacy violation is a bell that cannot be unrung)

Petition for Writ of Mandate:

("who is my Respondent?")

Petition for Writ of Supersedeas:

Stay Requested (until all this is sorted out)

Table of Contents

Exhibits

I simply haven't had time to figure all the rules for filing with the US Supreme Court. And must do the best I can with what I have. I hope this will suffice. I'm out of time.

Title	Page	Exhibits
Cover	1	*
Table of Contents	2	*
Petition for Writ of Certiorari (for the answer to a simple question)	3	*
Petition for Writ of Prohibition ("Do no harm" -- the 4 th Amendment)	4	*
Petition for Writ of Mandate (why hearings need to be recorded)	5	*
Petition for Writ of Supersedeas - STAY REQUESTED	6	*
Appellant Counsel: The Lanchester Settlement (my privacy issue is not trivial)	7	*
List of parties served	8	
Additional Exhibits :		
Opening Statement (not allowed to give at April 23, 2010 hearing) 2 pages	9-10	A
MC-265 form - Writ of Habeas Corpus (I have as yet to file)	11	B
Deputy DA Letter (2 page cover letter)	12	C
Deputy DA Letter: Form in letter to be filled out by me (4 pages, concisioned onto 1)	13	C
<i>Pages 3, 27-31 from my lawsuit: 1-08-CV-109152</i> regarding May 23, 2007 (6 pages)	-	D

*: Petition to be filed as Exhibit if necessary.

It's called 'Malpractice'

“If the motto ‘and justice for all’ becomes ‘and justice for those who can afford it,’ we threaten the very underpinnings of our social contract.”

- Past California Supreme Court Chief Justice Ronald M. George · State of the Judiciary speech · 2001

Q.E.D. -- thank you Emeritus Chief Justice George.

Is this Justice? Are we still living in the United States? Or a State of Delusion? Of Money and that only?

Petition for Writ of Certiorari

For an answer to this simple question:

Why should *anyone* have to pay \$1545 to "Petition¹" (!?) for a right² already guaranteed to them by the Constitution (as well as the law itself³)?

This petition will be rejected when I attempt to file it at all of these courts:

1: with **57 copies** of it (including proof of service + dealing with all the software problems, writing, printing, mailing costs et al.):

Orig + 4 copies to Calif. Appeals court + \$655 (filing fee)

Orig + 10 copies to Calif. Supreme court + \$590 (filing fee)

Orig + 40 copies to US Supreme Court + \$300 (filing fee)

2: the 4th Amendment

3: the Medical Privacy Act (state and federal)

Returning to court on a totally bizarre issue

(see my Opening Statement, Exhibit 1, I wasn't allowed to give)

I'm out of time, I understand everyone has to be served 5 days before the hearing this May 14. Sorry I'm late, but I have constant software debugging problems (too long to explain it all here) trying to get this all together. Undoubtedly this will have errors in it. They always do. Something repetitive, something left out. But I've done the absolute best I can with what I have.

It's ludicrous for me to continue with a not complex designation. And it's not at all clear to me if the issue of case misdesignation (apart from the issue the present action stems from) can ever be appealed or even see the light of day at the US Supreme Court level (or even the state Supreme Court level), let alone the state Appeals level. And especially by a novice -- I wasn't planning on having to do all the "heavy lifting" in this.

This case is complex.

And misdesignated as not. It belongs in Judge Kleinberg's court here. It's impossible to even file let alone appeal such a complex case without the designation. So far, I've been unsuccessful at raising the issue at both the California Appeals as well as our state Supreme Court level. "See me" Judge Cabrinha told me and twice so. And he was absolutely right. A complex case begins with an ex-parte hearing. Which Judge Huber summarily denied to me, deeming it not-complex, and gave me an order impossible to carry out without the designation -- to tell all the enjoined parties it's not-complex. And changed my defendants from

The LPS ("Mental Health") \$Malpractice\$ Complex to the "County of Santa Clara Et Al".

And in the process created even more confusion on the issue. And for me as well. I have no issues with the county which seems to be functioning quite normally mostly. Save for the line of supervision over EPS.

Can the court tell me who my defendants are?

Ultimately, there are simply too many parties to serve in all this. And the only way I know how to do it is with a website -- "service by publication" (www.publicforall.com) which I have been attempting to do as best I can with the limited resources I have. Perhaps the media can help me out on this one also. This job ultimately belongs in their ballpark anyway. *Someone* should be paying attention to it. My case has already been won anyway in the courts including the US Supreme Court itself unanimously (Donaldson), National Medical Enterprises (1993), et al. (but it all just "rolls on" obviously).

Misdesignated, mistitled, misjoined and misunderstood from the outset.

I have attempted to raise these problems to no avail repeatedly with the California Sixth District Court of Appeals (SDCA) as well as our state Supreme Court to no avail thus far. Including the not-complex case misdesignation, and mis-joining (this case was split at the Appeals court level separating off my enjoined CCP §382 plaintiff parties -- particularly the insurance interests.

This is a *public* hearing

A Privacy breach is "a bell that cannot be unrung"

And once this breach is rung all sorts of harm may arise from it. Serious harm. And the first and greatest of harms is that that is done to the Judiciary itself in the eyes those who expect nothing less than "*a rock solid pillar of Integrity that is incorruptible*".

Petition for Writ of Prohibition

Every practitioner of The Healing Arts knows it:

"First, Do No Harm"

The 4th Amendment:

The right of the people to be secure in their persons, houses, papers, and effects, against unreasonable searches and seizures, shall not be violated, and no Warrants shall issue, but upon probable cause, supported by Oath or affirmation, and particularly describing the place to be searched, and the persons or things to be seized.

And from which it stems:

The Medical Privacy Act (both state and federal)

Breach of Trust

And it is the *First* Harm.

"Can I trust you?" -- it is the first question I must ask of the court. And for the first time.

There was a reason the Medical Privacy Act was passed. To prevent the mischief that ensues from its violation. There are times to "lift the veil". A first hearing is not one of them, especially when I'm not even allowed to make a brief opening statement.

All of the judges I have met have done nothing whatever to tarnish the title bestowed upon them: "Honorable". These judges I have appeared before: Hon. Kevin E. McKenney, Hon. Kevin J Murphy, Hon. William J. Elfving, Hon. Mary Jo Levinger, Hon. Neal A. Cabrinha. Many times I have been ruled against. And from what I have seen, I myself will bestow this title upon them: Honorable. Not one of them *ever* has done anything to lead me to believe they were not trustworthy. Even when they've ruled against me.

And I wish to make it unanimous for every judge I have appeared before. And seek something awry in the law itself that must be compelling a judge to behave in such a fashion. And wish to be told of it quickly so that I may challenge it lest it bring nothing but more harm upon its wake.

For when it comes to the Probate dept. itself, which is already enjoined in my suit anyway, and that I appeared at and for the first time, that word Honorable means *everything*. For the people brought before it need to know that they will be treated as honorably as I myself have been by the judges I have appeared before. And know that that lesson of **Trust** will never be violated. By *anyone* in the court. For how they are treated and the lessons they will be taught will remain with them. And for my *own* safety, well being and peace of mind I wish to know that the values they are taught will *always* be *honorable* ones. And nothing less.

Petition for Writ of Mandate

on the lower Superior Court that all of its public hearings be **recorded** by the reporters (who are licensed anyway and by the court itself also) **with a microphone** - in this day and age there's no excuse not to - the cost is trivial as well as the software to password protect sound files, which is totally unnecessary anyway in public proceedings -- and this one is.

Look at this nonsense:

"A settled statement under rule 8.137.."

"An agreed statement under rule 8.134.."

Why has it been allowed to continue? I want to hear the actual recording of the transcript Pam Cardiff emailed to me on May 1, 2012. For I will believe her transcript "when I hear it". And doubt at the moment that the respondent is the Deputy DA. Who I wish to apologise to for not having read her second letter yet that she sent me after the hearing. I'll only be able to after completing this action and mailing it. It's a procedural item. There's many involved in this proceeding. And I tried to raise this issue unsuccessfully at my first hearing April 23, 2012.

The true identity of the **Respondent** in this action will only be known when the end of the recording of the April 23, 2012 hearing is played back to us. Which is why I should have initiated this action with the Petition for it in the Appeals court:

You could try subpoenaing Reporter Pam Cardiff, DDA Aaron West, Judge Thomas W. Cain, or any of the others present April 23, 2012, the bailiff, the clerk etc., whose names I forgot to get (alas, I'm still far too naïve and trusting in all this). But quite frankly, at this point, the only thing I would really trust is the recording itself of that hearing. Where is it?

Hearings in the Sixth District Court of Appeals are recorded. Why not in the lower courts as well? I'm sure Judge Cain would have no objection. I've requested a public hearing anyway.

Here's another reason hearings need to be recorded:

This case is complex:

"A reporter might doubt her sanity after hearing it and wisely leave it out." -- is the best way I know how to say it because I like Melody Dickinson and it became a difficult subject trying to coax her into acknowledging it. Which she never did:

"See me" Judge Cabrinha told me and twice so

on July 28, 2009 (according to my records).

He told this to me at my first hearing with him, in front of Mark Bernal (county counsel). And the second time undoubtedly for the benefit of Barry Marsh (AMR counsel) who arrived quite understandably late (we were all blindsided by the recusals). "See me" Judge Cabrinha told me at that hearing and requested I suspend serving anyone further. Which meant my CCP §382¹ parties most notably the insurance companies (who have already won this case anyway back in 1993 against National Medical Enterprises but it still continues) would never be allowed to join me in this as plaintiffs.

1: CCP §382. "If the consent of any one who should have been joined as plaintiff cannot be obtained, he may be made a defendant, the reason thereof being stated in the complaint; .." -- *what a lovely section of the law!*

"See me" Judge Cabrinha told me but these words are nowhere to be found in the transcript. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that on the first day of my hearing with him that he said this to me and twice so: "see me". And that I was quite taken aback as soon as I heard it. Not knowing **what** to make of it. And unhappily at the time didn't know how to anyway. And now deeply regret not having followed up on his instruction². Because I now know that he was absolutely right but at the time I didn't fully understand that a complex case *must* begin with an ex-parte hearing.

2: For at the time I hadn't known of the letter (I never received) in my file written by then Chief Presiding Judge Jamie Jacobs-May that I discovered for the first time reviewing the clerk's transcript that was mailed to me after I filed the appeal in the Sixth District Court of Appeals. That told of the recusals of judges Emerson, Kleinberg, Elfving and Levinger and ordered my case into Judge Cabrinha's court.

"See me" Judge Cabrinha told me and twice so

This case begins with an ex-parte hearing with the court itself.

The Respondent *is* the court itself.

Petition for Writ of Supersedeas

Stay Requested

I need to request a stay until I can catch up and get everyone properly served. Once again, I'm out of time with still much to say as well as already written in more notes and unfinished in rough draft and already behind and consumed by the usual computer issues (which I can generally always solve, but at a cost: time -- it just constantly soaks it up).

I understand everyone has to be served 5 days before the hearing this May 14, 2012 in Dept. 3. This case really belongs in the complex department (Judge Kleinberg's court) for many reasons (previously stated).

Another deadline is this May 23 (a 5th anniversary): other windows could well be closing on me I've never been told of in all this that I'll never be able to address as well.

Copies of this should also be going to the health insurance companies enjoined in all this. As well as the state itself (Medi-Cal). I need time to catch up. I shouldn't be having to do this all alone anyway. Had I been allowed to properly enjoin my CCP §382 parties, I wouldn't be as overwhelmed as I've come to be. It was nice to see Chip Bowen's letter (from the Attorney General's office) in the file showing an interest as to whether PSI (Psychiatric Solutions Inc.) had responded to the summons. No, they ignored it. Sorry haven't had a chance to contact him there yet.

Alas, I'm completely out of time and already late. With still much to say as well as already written in more notes and unfinished in rough draft. The problem is that it just becomes repetitive. Everyone knows it's broken anyway.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that all of this is true and correct.

And that on May 9, 2012 I served www.publicforall.com with it upon the link that says:
"The Petition of Geoffrey Lloyd Mangers"

Geoffrey Mangers
Date: May 9, 2012

Following is the explanation of why I am serving the Lanchester Settlement to assist me as Counsel on the privacy issue after which the Exhibits follow. Which consist of the papers I had with me to file at the April 23, 2012 hearing where I stopped by the clerk's office to see if I would be allowed to file and Chris Gonzalez tried to help me with as best as possible explaining about the importance of Exhibit numbers and referencing them from the Opening Statement. They conform to the papers I filed as best as possible with the addition of the Exhibit alphabetic numbering which I hope will clarify the filing that day. If I have to scan in the handwritten filings with the minor additional notations, it won't be 'searchable' and don't have time for at the moment anyway.

The Lanchester Settlement

I'm not only a member of this class but also have a privacy issue that is not trivial.

<http://www.lanchesterclassactionsettlement.com/> It says:

"Chase has agreed to pay \$3.9 million (less the cost of settlement notice and administration) to non-profit organizations for use in promoting and preserving the privacy rights of California consumers and residents, among others."

If the Lanchester Settlement is unwilling to provide the legal assistance and support for me in this, as a member of the class, I will be objecting to it. Already my request for assistance several years ago was met with the response "Good luck to you sir" sending me along my way when I sought help from the \$803,000 *cy pres* Boalt Hall, UC Berkeley was awarded. The rest of what I learned in trying persist is why I find myself having to contest the wording of CCP §384 itself that has subverted its intent. By creating a class of unpaid plaintiffs. Instead, why not turn all those unpaid residuals into "Law Stamps". And put the power back into the pockets of those it has been stolen from. As well as truly providing civil legal services for the indigent as it was intended to do.

With much appreciation to the New York Times article by Adam Liptak:

cy pres Why can't I find a "pro bono" lawyer?

"Doling Out Other People's Money"

by Adam Liptak, New York Times, Nov. 20, 2007 (excerpted, emphasis mine)

In the class-action context, though, **allowing judges to choose** how to spend other people's money **"is not a true judicial function and can lead to abuses,"** said David F. Levi, a former federal judge who is now the dean of the Duke University School of Law. **"It made me more than a little uncomfortable that groups would solicit me for consideration as recipients of cy pres awards."** .. "I know," he added, **"that other judges felt that there was something unseemly about this system."**

This "lawyering" profession should be an Honorable one. Rewarding those that are.
Lest it turn into something rewarding money and that only. And tip the scales in favor of it.

★ **A rock solid pillar of integrity that is incorruptible** ★

Happily some are. And ever more so to hear that they are leaders (if only a minority). And wise. Who drafted some principles for the American Law Institute. Which were even cited by the New York federal appeals court urging a lower court judge to read and consider them in a case before them. But to no avail. And further..

The draft principles cited by the appeals court **have not been adopted by the law institute, and they were met with passionate opposition** when they were presented at the institute's annual meeting this year, Professor Issacharoff said.

But why? The article continues..

Lawyers and judges have grown used to controlling these pots of money, and they enjoy distributing them to favored charities, alma maters and the like.

...

The process **is starting to become institutionalized**, and legal services organizations that represent poor people have begun to rely on class-action settlements to finance their work. They have **turned to legislatures and appeals courts to make sure judges keep the money flowing.**

...

Judges are turning into grant administrators,

and some of them are starting to enjoy it. Who wouldn't?

But the new judicial role does not fit well with the old one. "It is," Professor Issacharoff said, **"an invitation to wild corruption of the judicial process."**

"Who do you call?"

I already know our Civil Grand Jury can't do anything about it. "Now what?" A Federal Grand Jury?

List of Parties Served

Supreme Court of the United States 1 First Street, NE Washington, DC 20543	Kamala D. Harris, Attorney General Dept. of Justice, State of California 1300 "I" Street Sacramento, CA 95814-2919	El Camino Hospital Board of Directors c/o Matt Harris 2500 Grant Road - M/S 1C31 Mountain View, CA 94040
Supreme Court of the State of California 400 McAllister St. San Francisco, CA 94102	Harry T. (Chip) Gower III Office of the Attorney General 455 Golden Gate, Suite 11000 San Francisco, CA 94102-7004	Lanchester Settlement Hon. Richard A. Kramer, Dept. 304 Superior Court of the State of California, County of San Francisco 400 McAllister St. San Francisco, CA 94102
Commission on Judicial Performance 455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 14400 San Francisco, California 94102	Barbara Thompson Dept. of Justice, Bureau of Firearms P.O. Box 820200 Sacramento, CA 94203-0200	Lanchester Settlement Class Counsel: Kamran Ghalchi, (Ghalchi & Assoc.) Tina Wolfson, Robert R. Ahdoot , Ahdoot & Wolfson, P.C. 10850 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 370 Los Angeles, CA 90024
Sixth District Court of Appeals 333 W. Santa Clara St. San Jose, California 95113	District Attorney Jeff Rosen, Deputy DA Aaron West Office of the District Attorney 70 West Hedding Street, West Wing San Jose, CA 95110	Lanchester Settlement Attorneys for Defendant, JP Morgan Chase Bank: Julia B. Strickland, Scott M. Pearson, Stroock & Stroock & Lavan LLP 2029 Century Park East, Suite 1600 Los Angeles, California 90067-3086
Hon. James P. Kleinberg, Complex Dept. Superior Court of California, County of Santa Clara 191 North First Street San Jose, CA 95113	Superior Court of California, County of Santa Clara, Dept. 3 191 North First Street San Jose, CA 95113	

Geoffrey Mangers
4124 Ross Park Dr.
San Jose, CA 95118-1761
(408) 978-8646
Email: geoffrey@mangers.org

Opening Statement

First I wish to apologise for not having completed my opening brief which is now at 10,000 words in notes and growing and yet to be polished. I've run out of time. I wish to appear anyway because there are procedural questions I have and am a novice in all this and catching up as best I can. I would like to request a continuance.

↓ see Exhibit C (letter from DDA)

Feel free to interrupt to ask any questions. I'd just like to be able to complete this opening statement at about 1600 words which I can do in about 11 minutes. And hope it will help answer the letter from the Deputy DA. That I find interesting and wish to thank her for. The first item that interests me is that her letter tells me that this case begins upon a privacy issue. Until further notice I have instructed El Camino Hospital to restrict access to my medical records regarding all this to myself only.

I can't afford a lawyer and am a novice in all this and will be engaging the Lanchester Settlement for legal assistance in this area. Which is too complicated to explicate it all here at the moment.

In the meantime, I would like to request the DA's office and the court to be patient and take pause until I get to know all of you and know you can be trusted. After which there is much I wish the DA's office to see. And when I feel I'm in safe hands I want them to see *everything*. And follow up on all that they will learn. But the letter I received from the DA's office leaves too many questions in my head at the moment.

I see no rush in this matter anyway which I think you will agree upon about 600 words from here when you see there is nothing whatever to fear.

The FD 4009C (why was it hidden from me?)

It took my thumbprint, \$10 for a notary, \$20 for the PFEC and several letters and phone calls to the Dept. of Justice who I found as confused as the Deputy DA in all this. But eventually came up with the FD 4009C form that by law was supposed to have been given to me 5 years ago at discharge and never was when my legal status was changed.

"substantial postjudgement judicial supervision"

Also I'd appreciate knowing of any other deadlines, forms etc. that I haven't been told about yet on the upcoming 5th and 6th anniversaries of my 5150's that both went 5250 and that to this day still have no credible explanation whatever from anyone as to why they even occurred at all. I believe if the probate dept. is allowed to explore my case to its proper completion that it will concur and agree with me on the importance of surprise inspections and maintaining what I checked off on the box on the cover sheet of my complaint that says: "substantial postjudgement judicial supervision" over the county mental health services.

My day in court

This action has nothing whatever to do with firearms anyway. The reason I'm filing this action is that if I don't, I will never again be allowed to have my day in court to get some answers as to how my legal status came to be changed as negatively as it has on my second 5150-5250 but not on my first and has been allowed to remain so for as long as it has.

Habeas Corpus

↓ see Exhibit B

Also I need to introduce myself to the probate court eventually anyway on **Habeas Corpus** and file the MC-265 form which has produced some lively conversations with Chris Gonzalez on the matter and would like to get a second opinion on from your court to know if I need to file it in the Sixth District Appeals Court first. There's some other items I need to complete there also anyway. My Appellant's Opening Brief and recalling a remittitur of a complex case misdesignated as not which now lies here locked in remittitur as all such misdesignated cases eventually will anyway. Should the recall fail I need to be prepared for the petition to the US Supreme Court itself should the state one fail. And it is not yet clear to me that a case misdesignation is even appeal-able. The probate court is a part of my suit anyway which I'm beginning to realise in the final analysis is an *educational* problem. Which is why I've been giving speeches to various Boards etc. Which I need to do more of. And hoping to improve upon in that area. It's immensely frustrating to "know what it all is" and lacking the "high-speed microchip brain interface" (or writers and secretaries) not be able to get it all down fast enough. And beset by constant technical problems I hope I'm finally seeing the end of. I'm not good at handling the paper my desk overflows with that I haven't seen the bottom of in years now. And then there's life's constant intrusions. And having to explain the delays to the court each time. And amazed I've gotten as far as I have. I believe in mental health. Try a lifetime beginning with a severe stuttering problem if you want to know why. I've had to do it on the cheap all my life and know what it is and know when I've been had.

To continue.. this one's interesting:

Why am I a defendant for *initiating* an action?

I don't get it. I gather I would be able to withdraw this action at any moment if I wish -- or am I mistaken. Has the "die been cast"? As I understand, even if the ruling is favorable and I'm able to clear my name in California, it will be fruitless anyway because I have a lifelong federal firearms ban. "What did I do?"

The 2nd Amendment

The Deputy DA's letter leads me to believe that the burden of proof lies upon myself to prove I can handle a firearm safely and lawfully. Which I wish to put to rest as quickly as possible.

I can handle a firearm as well as the next person and as safely. Which is why I've never owned one. I may be crazy but I'm not stupid. And have about as much desire for one as I would to be living with a rattlesnake. Which I wouldn't know how to handle safely either.

I believe the less bullets flying around the better. And already have enough liability to worry about as it is upon the roads trying to keep the ton of steel I drive, out of harms way. And long for the day when an accident proof one appears. As well as a firearm.

Whether I can operate a firearm safely and lawfully is completely irrelevant anyway. No such clause exists in the 2nd Amendment.

Here's another one. This is a public hearing. If I am to live in an armed society I don't like the idea of those that are, getting the idea that I might not be. I'd like them to think twice before pulling one on me.

A "blue suicide" epidemic.

Finally, it is truly beyond my comprehension that anyone would want to end their life in such a grizzly fashion when there are others in which to do so far more humanely. And that I find this "blue suicide" epidemic suspect: *"take me out and shoot me"*

"I see it all the time" (Deputy DA Aaron West told me)

To this day I have been unable to authenticate the author of the 5150 application form that claims I claimed that people were trying to kill me so I told an officer I wanted someone to take me out and shoot me. Now that's just plain crazy.

And I'm still waiting to hear the:

"Did you really say that?" question.

and would like to know if this question has ever been asked by the Forensic Mental Health division of the DA's office of any of the other victims of this "blue suicide" epidemic going around, and if not, why not. Why don't they ask it of me? And under oath.

This case begins not with the hospital medical records but with the police report in SJPd's computer data base that has been withheld from me. Which will contain the phrase "watering can" in it. And I need to see it myself first. In its entirety. And it seems I may well need a subpoena in order to do so.

↓ see Exhibit D

The details and police report number are contained in the 6 pages from my lawsuit that I will leave with the court and the Deputy DA. You can access the entire pdf on my website publicforall.com that I'm way way behind on updating. Just scroll down to where it says "Complaint: First Papers".

Addenda:

This is what I have learned in addition recently. The officer who picked me up was Gerald Keplar (Sr.). Mark Goings only drove me EPS. He commented that I had been picked up by the "Officer of the Year" which I now think may have been one of those left-handed compliments. Ofc. Adolfo Acosta (#3141) was also at the scene. It's possible he might still have some dim recollections that might be helpful. I know I'll remember it for the rest of my life.

I need confirmation of what I witnessed so I can file my testimony as accurately as possible.

I have some questions. I'm sure you do also and invite you to ask them all. My first questions relate to Procedure. How do I file in this court? Will I be allowed to? How do I serve? Does someone else have to mail it? Etc.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that all of this is true and correct and to the very best of my ability at the moment. Thank you.

Exhibit B: the MC-265 form - Writ of Habeas Corpus -- I was never told about and have as yet to file.

ATTORNEY OR PETITIONER WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name and Address):	TELEPHONE NO.:	FOR COURT USE ONLY
PETITIONER'S BIRTH DATE: SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF		
IN THE MATTER OF (NAME):	Petitioner	CASE NUMBER:
PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS — LPS Act		

1. Petitioner is being unlawfully restrained of liberty at (specify name of treatment facility):
by (specify name of agency and treating psychiatrist):
2. Petitioner was admitted to the treatment facility on (date): and is currently being held pursuant to
☐ W & I § 5150 (72-hour hold) ☐ W & I § 5250 (14-day certification) ☐ W & I § 5260 (2d 14-day certification)
☐ W & I § 5270.15 (30-day cert.) ☐ W & I § 5300 (180-day post-certification) ☐ W & I § 5352.1 (temporary conservatorship)
☐ W & I § 5350 (conservatorship) ☐ Other (specify):

- 3. Check at least one box:**

- a. ☐ Petitioner is illegally confined for the following reason:

- b. ☐ Petitioner has been denied the following rights without good cause (Welfare and Institutions Code sections 5325, 5325.1, and 5326):

4. Petitioner requests that this court (check all that apply):

- a. ☐ Issue a Writ of Habeas Corpus to the director of the facility named in item 1, commanding that the petitioner be brought before this court at a specified time and place.
- b. ☐ Order the facility to release petitioner from restraint.
- c. ☐ Order that all rights to which petitioner is entitled as a patient be observed.
- d. ☐ Grant such other relief as this court deems appropriate.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Date:

(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)

(SIGNATURE OF PETITIONER OR PERSON REQUESTING WRIT ON PETITIONER'S BEHALF)

Exhibit C - the privacy issue

(from the Deputy DA's letter: first 2 pages reduced for mailing)

The law that applies to this hearing authorizes me to review certain records and reports about you, and to ask the court to consider them. I am enclosing a medical release form for you to complete and return to me so that I can obtain your mental health records. Please fill it out and initial and sign where indicated. Mail the form back to me as soon as possible in the enclosed envelope.

You are not legally required to sign this release form. If you do not I will seek to obtain the records through the subpoena process. If I cannot obtain the records before the hearing and with enough time to review them, I will ask that the hearing be postponed.

When I do receive your records, I will review them and organize them for the judge to read. Please let me know if you want a copy before the hearing. At the hearing, I will ask the judge to admit some of the records as evidence; this is allowed by the statute that governs this hearing – Welfare & Institutions Code section 8103. I will then call you to the witness stand and ask you questions under oath. For example, I will ask why you do not want to wait the 5 years. I will ask you about your history with firearms. I will ask you about the reasons behind your hospital admission, and any other relevant incidents in the records I am waiting for.

You will have a chance to present any relevant evidence to the judge.

Very truly yours,



Aaron West, Deputy District Attorney
Phone: 408-792-2484; Fax: 408-299-8440; email: awest@da.sccgov.org



County of Santa Clara

Office of the District Attorney

County Government Center, West Wing
70 West Hedding Street
San Jose, California 95110
(408) 239-7400
www.santacounty-da.org

Jeffrey F. Rosen
District Attorney

April 10, 2012

Geoffrey Lloyd Mangers
4124 Ross Park Dr.
San Jose, CA 95118-1761

Subject: W&I 8103 Petition: Docket Number 1-12-MH-037559

Dear Mr. Mangers:

The Santa Clara County Superior Court has notified me that you have petitioned to restore your right to possess firearms pursuant to Welfare and Institutions Code Section 8103. A hearing has been scheduled for Monday, April 23, 2012, at 1:30 p.m. in Department 3 at Downtown Superior Court, 191 North First Street,, San Jose, CA.

You should assume that I am opposing your petition. Nonetheless, I will treat you with dignity, honesty, respect, and courtesy. **If you are represented by an attorney, please have him or her contact me.**

The hearing has one specific purpose -- when you were admitted to the hospital you lost your right to possess firearms for 5 years, under state law. This hearing is your request to have that right restored instead of waiting the 5 years. The hearing has no other purpose.

The law requires the District Attorney to appear at the hearing to help determine whether you would be likely to use firearms in a safe and lawful manner. To make this determination, it is important for me to obtain additional information about the circumstances that led to the restriction on your right to possess firearms.

Exhibit C - the privacy issue

(the 4 page form for me to sign in the Deputy DA's letter 4 pages reduced for mailing)

MENTAL HEALTH OR DEVELOPMENTAL DISABILITY INFORMATION AUTHORIZATION FOR USE OR DISCLOSURE OF PROTECTED HEALTH INFORMATION

EXPLANATION: This Authorization is necessary for us to comply with state and federal laws pertaining to the use or disclosure of protected health information ("PHI") about the patient identified below. Please provide all requested information. Failure to provide all requested information may prevent the health care provider from acting on this Authorization.

Name of Patient: **Geoffrey Lloyd Mangers**

Date of Birth: **September 11, 1948**

PERSONS AUTHORIZED TO DISCLOSE PHI. I authorize **El Camino Hospital** to disclose the health information about patient as described in Section 2 below.

2. DESCRIPTION OF INFORMATION. This Authorization permits the use and/or disclosure of the following information about patient:

- ☐ **(Initial)** All health information pertaining to the treatment of my mental health and/or developmental disability or condition.

Date(s) of Treatment: **May 1, 2007 to May 31, 2007**

Type of Treatment: **Psychiatric**

3. AUTHORIZED USERS AND RECIPIENTS. I hereby authorize the following person or class of persons to receive and/or use the health information described in Section 2 above:

Name: **Deputy District Attorney Aaron West**
Address: **70 West Hedding Street**
City/State/Zip: **San Jose, CA 95110**
Phone #: **(408) 792-2484** Fax #: **(408) 299-8440**

4. PURPOSE. I hereby authorize the information checked in Section 2 above to be used and/or disclosed for the following purposes:

- ☐ Others: For use in Welfare and Institutions Code section 8103 hearing, Docket number 1-12-MH-03759

RIGHT OF REVOCATION. I understand that I have the right to revoke this authorization at any time, provided that my revocation is in writing and conforms to requirements described in HIPAA

5. LIMITS TO REVOCATION. I understand that my revocation will be effective upon its receipt by the person(s) I authorized in Section 1 but would not be effective to the extent that such persons have acted in accordance with this Authorization and in reliance thereon. With respect to the person(s) I authorized to receive and use health information described in Section 3, if I (patient or personal representative) requested this Authorization, any revocation will be effective only when I communicate my revocation directly to them.

6. REDISCLOSURE. I understand that if the recipient of my information in Section 3 above is not a healthcare provider, a health plan or health care clearing house or not an entity required to comply with federal or state health privacy regulations, my health information may be further disclosed by such recipient and my information may no longer be protected by state and federal laws. If this Authorization is for the disclosure of substance abuse information, the recipient may be prohibited from disclosing the substance abuse information under federal substance abuse confidentiality requirements.

7. CALIFORNIA / ARIZONA RESTRICTION. I understand that a recipient of medical information in California or Arizona may not further disclose medical information about me (patient) unless a new Authorization form is signed by me or my personal representative or unless the disclosure is specifically required or permitted by law.

8. RIGHT TO REFUSE TO SIGN. I understand that I do not have to sign this authorization and that my failure to sign this authorization will not affect my ability to obtain treatment, payment or benefits.

9. AUTOMATIC ONE-YEAR DURATION. This authorization will automatically expire after one (1) year from date of execution unless a different end date or event is set forth herein.

Event Name: **Welfare and Institutions Code section 8103 hearing, Docket Number 1-12-MH-037559.**

10. COPY RECEIVED. I acknowledge receipt of a signed copy of this authorization _____ (initial).

Signature of patient (or personal representative, if applicable)
Date _____

Print name of patient or (personal representative, if applicable)


Relationship to patient, if applicable _____
(If signatory is anyone other than patient, describe signatory's relationship to patient; for example: Legal representative, parent, guardian, spouse, financial conservator, etc.)

Address _____

Phone No. _____

ATTENTION RECIPIENT: ANY DISCLOSURE OF MEDICAL RECORD INFORMATION BY THE RECIPIENT IS PROHIBITED EXCEPT WHEN IMPLICIT IN THE PURPOSE OF THIS DISCLOSURE.

The LPS ("Mental Health") \$Malpractice\$ Complex



\$ Billions and billions of dollars \$ (nationally)

It just keeps going up. And up. And up.. From 'zero'.

EPS triage into psychiatric hospitals has skyrocketed from 5% in 2002 to 25% in 2007.

Quintupling, in as many years.

There's only **one** word in that first Grand Jury Report (2002) in boldface and italics:

"no public patients" It *"says it all"*.

"does not provide an adequate number of acute psychiatric care beds to properly serve its populace"
"Send more money!" the administrators beseech.

The second Grand Jury Report (2003) simply stated the obvious of what the first could not:

"It was found that there was no lack of beds but.."

"..a problem of discharging patients to appropriate levels of care."

It's called a **misdiagnosis**.

(A Lanterman-Petris-Short misdiagnosis -- that's malpractice)

"Send more money!" the administrators beseech again. And it was:

"This problem has been resolved with a budget increase to the Department of Mental Health."

No, the problem (***misdiagnoses***) still exists. And always will. Because:
in order for the profits to continue to soar, **the beds must remain full.**

Money isn't the problem. Money IS the problem.

Thousands of dollars a day for every head they hold.

Then why is it still going on? \$ *"follow the money"* \$

The third Grand Jury Report (2005) did. Commenting:

"Is the incarceration of people on the margin of society and the investment in custodial healthcare the most effective way to improve their situation?"

"..a problem of discharging patients to appropriate levels of care."

It begs the question..

Why are they in there to begin with?

The confidential application forms are never questioned.
(if a 'patient' does, it's 'schizophrenia' or something)

A message that's there when you need it:

"POLICE MISCONDUCT MAY BE UNDERREPORTED"

Hooray for the Grand Jury!

Where are the patient's advocates? **MHAP:** "We can't help you" *Why?*

*"What *is* Mental Health?"*

Exhibit D

There are some things I wish the Court to know about that I think might help in understanding where I'm coming from regarding SJPĐ. I've learned not to expect perfection. All officers are different. It's about *intention*. And I think most are well so.

SJPĐ (a curious and mysterious entity)

"I'm gonna sue SJPĐ for not letting me get to know them. I think most of those guys like me."

I do have some questions about SJPĐ. And realising that this profession has perhaps become a little too "mysterious" in our modern day system. Most people during their life probably have little if any interaction with police. Save the usual minor issues, tickets etc.

Get to Know the Cop on the Beat (all kinds of crazy things can happen if you can't)

May 17, 2006

Medals: *for 2 of San Jose's Finest.*

On the night of May 17, 2006 two brave officers demonstrated their willingness to place their lives on the line for me. Responding to the only 911 call I've ever made in my life. Happily, a false alarm. Perhaps they were confused by something they saw. And just trying to be helpful (alas, the mistake *I* always make!). The whole thing's a laugh ("long story.."). Then imagine some poor young officer has to write some report that has "cover my butt" written all over it. I don't know what to say about it all anymore. To think someone would file a complaint or sue SJPĐ for saving their life or something. They must think I'm crazy. I think I've been misdiagnosed. That's malpractice. But not against them. Pin a medal on them already, give 'em a hug for me. It would be nice to know if they got my invitations I sent them a year ago as my Guests of Honor for the speech I gave on their behalf. Thanks.

Would you believe it took me over four months for that "light bulb" to go off in my head? I notified Chief Davis and everyone else as quickly (9/27/06) as I could. And sent out the invitations:

"Sir, I have no issues with your department whatever"

On my first 5150 I don't! Or ever intend to. I hope they got the invitations.
It was all well-intended.

Get to Know the Cop on the Beat

(all kinds of crazy things can happen if you can't)

"Our beats are too long to be walked anymore" responded the officer listening attentively behind the counter as he pointed to the map on the wall. I think he was prepared to give me his entire thesis on the subject had I inquired further. After I walked in trying to explain the problem to them and being asked I was the one who called earlier to find out where they were in Oakridge Mall.

"Just wave to us as we pass by"
commented another walking in with his bicycle.

Having said this I must now file my complaint..

Exhibit D

Charges

against officers unknown, #2611 and (with regret) SJPDP
involved in

Case number: 07-143-0413 on May 23, 2007

"shoddy police work"

Indeed, it wouldn't surprise me at all if I've been misdiagnosed by SJPDP. That's "malpractice".

False imprisonment: falsification of a 5150

Violation of 5157:

Failing to advise me of my rights. And the reason for my detainment. I was never told I was allowed to make a phone call, leave a note and take approved personal items.

Fraud: (continuing document falsification in case number: 07-143-0413)

To wit: the letter I was mailed in an official SJPDP envelope with a date stamp of Feb 7, 2008. That contained a blatantly falsified police report. Without the signed authorisation of their supervisor. Or indication of any review whatever by any superior officer. Or any identity whatever of the person who mailed it that I can prove.

Early last November I drove over personally to Internal Affairs to initiate a discussion of this case with their department and left them with a document and a letter requesting verification of it and a question.

That I still don't have an answer for. Many actually. Too many.

Intentional and blatant falsification of a 5150 application form on May 23, 2007.

Which upon reading for the first time the next day resulted in:

INFLICTION OF SEVERE EMOTIONAL DISTRESS (non-negligent and intentionality unknown):

Reading this falsified form on May 24, 2006 caused me to have a psychotic break:

paranoid schizophrenia -- not knowing who I could trust anymore. I've never been schizophrenic *ever* in my life. For which I was unsuccessfully treated for at a mental hospital. For 8 days and \$30,000. I'm still recovering from the shock.

Failing to produce the ACTUAL ORIGINAL (07-143-0413) police report itself:

(please forgive the following hyperbole but I hope you get the idea)

Then in the months ahead, as I attempted to recover from my paranoid schizophrenia searching for its cause attempting to get the authentic full police report itself (including the watering can) and trying to make sense of it all, I filled out their request form and mailed it in. Only to receive a reply consisting of two 4-digit numbers as the confusing reason why I couldn't get it. I kept struggling through my paranoid schizophrenia trying to overcome it each day hoping for the strength and confidence to be able to pick up the phone that I was finally able to briefly achieve every month or so to call them back asking "Why?". Only to wind up (after months of struggle) having my question answered by their homicide department telling me I'm a suspect. Then struggled to get back on my feet once again fearing to call them back not wanting to know what I could have possibly done in my paranoid schizophrenic state to cause me to become a murder suspect. Eventually I recovered sufficiently enough to call back. Asking first what the definition of a suspect was. Which no one seemed to be able to answer. So I finally had to put it to them straight. They returned my call telling me I'm not a murder suspect and showed no interest in going down the rest of the list telling me "You can't get a police report". Which only resulted in months of more delay trying to recover the truth that must too horrible to face. I probably would still be a miserable wretch had it not been for the sympathetic kindness and understanding of one of their astute Cybercops helping me to recover by giving me enough emotional strength and confidence to ultimately challenge my fears. And request to be told in writing the reason I wasn't able to get the report.

Whereupon I was sent another confusing and substandard document. That says absolutely nothing about a watering can. Or the "Officer of the Year". Or their names. Of any other officer either for that matter. Or how many of them there were. In fact, I don't even know for sure who actually sent it. Or wrote it. Or when they did. Despite what it says. Or who his supervisor is. Or doctor. Or what his 5150 diagnosis is. With his name on the application form (and all the other forms also). Or if he's under a doctor's care at all. Or any supervision of any kind whatever for that matter. I certainly don't see any on the report. It's all just blank..

Indicative of a broken "chain of command".

And I see it in the City Attorney's office also (from their initial response to my call).

Exhibit D

Narrative:

Regarding the events on the morning of May 23, 2007 (sometime between 9 and 11 am)

Background: just a week earlier on May 17, 2007 I filed a series of 3 lawsuits. Against EPS (\$1 billion), Fremont Hospital (\$1 billion), and AMR (\$100,000). None of which included SJPd, by the way. Nor do I ever intend to for what I think the security guards at the Superior Court seemed confused about when I showed up that day. Who were all looking at me when I turned around after remembering I had forgotten my brief folder with them. That they were keeping safely for me away from anyone else. And none of whom seemed to be smiling. I had done a lot of work on it in order to be able to fill out all the forms to get it all in at (what I thought at the time was) the last minute on the statute of limitations. And feeling pretty good about this accomplishment and didn't understand why they weren't smiling either. *"Not you guys, I love you guys!"* I told them trying to cheer them up. Maybe they were confused. Maybe SJPd also was. I don't know. Or why none of them seemed to notice me at all as I left that day. Anyway, I gave an enthusiastic wave from my car passing by one of their "mountie's" downtown who seemed delighted by it.

Anyway, the clerk told me I had 10 days to complete the cover sheet. The forms tells of sanctions if I didn't. And was unable finish it in time. Because 6 days later I found myself being handcuffed and directed into the back of a squad car. After a series of events that would probably only sound crazy to someone who didn't know me. I wound up dismissing these lawsuits without even serving anyone. Because it all became too psychologically stressful for me. Fearing various kinds of retaliation.

I also had some concerns at the time about another completely different item. That a half year later no less than SJPd itself was to advise me to resolve. And for which I am immensely grateful for the bolstering advice and support I received from them on it last January. And took.

But on the morning of May 23, 2007, I didn't have enough money to stay at Motel 6 when I attempted to resolve this item. So I found myself trying to meet my neighbors for the first time since I moved here over 20 years ago. Realising the importance of not having done so over the years. Anyway, I proceeded to walk around the block after taking the helpful advice of one of them I liked (and still do) who was walking home and kind enough to listen to my concerns. But in hindsight regret asking if it was OK to stay the night in their yard if I had to (since I couldn't afford a motel and didn't know where to go -- maybe it would have been helpful to have explained that part, I don't know .. it probably sounded a bit crazy).

Anyway I proceeded to walk around the block. And feeling a bit better on to another one. Stopping to meet and chat with another neighbor briefly. And noticed the Church of the Chimes which I found myself walking into for the first time. Where I was met with understanding smiles by everyone as I tried to explain as best I could at the time what my situation was. Whereupon several of them freely and out of the kindness of their hearts offered to walk me back home. And listen to what I had to say. That's all I really needed. Later I learned that this had been Pastor Dwight Bailey Jr. and two others.

Anyway, we didn't get very far from the Church when at least a couple of squad cars pulled up. I remember at least 2 (or perhaps more) officers. Who separated me from these kind people who were directed away. And expect probably never turned around in curiosity to see me handcuffed and directed into the back of one of their squad cars. Without an interview, explanation, or even an interest in any discussion of any kind whatever. From any of them.

I did as I was instructed. And not feeling particularly good about it all. Handcuffed, with my head bowed and locked in the back of the squad car. We may have driven a block or so and stopped while I heard at least two of the officers outside communicating something with the words "dangerous to others" and "dangerous to (self?)". As I tried to think what I could have possibly done to have deserved this.

Trying to make sense of it all. Nothing did. It still doesn't.

Exhibit D

1 Actually I was feeling pretty miserable through it all, especially with all those unanswered questions in
2 my downcast head resting on the right corner of the door and seatback. Not wanting to look at
3 anyone in the neighborhood. I was driven back to my residence stopping outside for a few minutes and
4 I didn't hear any of the words involved in the interview(s?). Then was driven on to our destination
5 which seemed to answer one of my questions and felt relieved explaining it with some Humor. Which
6 he said he liked. And little else, other than that I had been picked up by the "Officer of the Year"
(perhaps this might have been some sort of honor, I don't know).

8 Anyway, we seemed to be parked for some time in the back of a building with a sign above the
9 entrance that said "Emergency Psychiatric Services", as he appeared to be filling out some form(s).
10 I recall him inviting me to look at the screen with all the (what I assume were calls requiring
11 responses). I noticed the scroll rate and got the idea turning away commenting that it was private and
12 I shouldn't look. Anyway, another officer stopped by at the right window and they chatted amicably for
13 a while (ignoring me). The other officer eventually left and (if I recall correctly) he continued with the
14 writing. And heard the handcuffs would be coming off soon. Which was nice to hear since they were
15 becoming uncomfortable. And they did after he placed me inside of (what I assume was) EPS which is
16 the last I remember of him. I use the word "assume" because I didn't look up enough through it all
17 from the back seat to see where in fact it was that I was being taken. And not knowing and hoping for
18 the best among several possibilities. Not all of which I really cared to dwell upon at the time. But did
look up enough to see it was in the vicinity of Valley Med.

19 Parenthetically, I wish to mention another item. While parked in the back of the building I noticed a
20 vehicle on the right that said something like "Santa Clara County Protective Services" on it. And found
21 it interesting because that happened to be one of the possible (if unlikely) answers to one of my
22 unanswered questions (among many more) that I had at the time. Anyway I've pretty much
23 eliminated that possibility. But it raises another question for me. For which if true, that Santa Clara
24 County definitely has the wrong answer for. If any. Including EPS itself.

25 Anyway, I have no issues with this officer (I think they lie elsewhere). Other than that he
26 shouldn't be allowing himself to participate in this nonsense. And is still allowing it to be done
27 to him with the falsified report I was sent (if indeed, he actually *did* write that falsified report).
28 Furthermore, because I couldn't see the forms he was filling out in the front seat at the time,
29 I can't even prove if, in fact, it *was* this officer who placed an "x" in the box of that 5150
30 application form with my name on it I was never shown or given a copy of until a day later at
31 El Camino that says "Advisement Complete" on it.

32 None was. Of any kind whatever. Orally or written. By this officer. Or any other officer that day.
33 Or by anyone else either while I was at EPS. In clear violation of the law: 5157.

34
35 Anyway, it was nice to hear Sgt. ~~Randall~~ Randol finally acknowledge it: "Some confusion here".
36 I think some of them still are. And I'd like to know how they got that confused in the first place.

37 And grateful for those who aren't. Indeed, I find it particularly heartwarming when I think back to how
38 discouraged I became in the months afterwards trying to get a copy of their police report on all this as
39 I heard this somewhat crusty voice over the phone telling me quickly under his breath something like
40 "don't say you want it to compare notes or anything .. just say you want it for your own personal
41 records". For at the time I wasn't expecting to hear such encouragement (that I truly needed!) in such
42 a fashion. Advising me how to get it.

43
44 Anyway, it was nice to finally get the report (07-143-0413). I'm wondering when it was written. And if
45 any other reports were written with that number on it. And what happened to them. I'd like to see
46 them. And who the "Officer of the Year" was at the time. And find out who actually picked me up that
47 day. And whether it was the person who handcuffed and drove me. As I was lead to believe. I still
48 have far too many questions.
49
50

----- Original Message (re-edited from the original I sent them) -----

From: "Geoffrey"
To: SJPDP
Sent: Sunday, February 10, 2008 9:53 PM
Subject: Thanks for the report.

Please thank whoever sent the redacted police report "07-143-0413"
I recently received that I requested eons ago.

I have some comments on the report.

On 5-23-07 why not stay with what the officer wrote on the 5150 application form:
"While in my custody Mangers claimed he wanted somebody to take him out and shoot him."

Why (in the report written on the same day: 5-23-07) change it to:
"Mangers did make it clear that he wanted somebody to shoot him."

I never questioned it that day. How could I? I didn't even see the application form until a day later. After which it took months to recover from. And finally be able to read it again. And then screw up the courage to start asking some questions. And then for the complete "07-143-0413" report itself. Anyway I'm glad the officer didn't take what he thought he claimed I said seriously. Whether allegedly or not. Or maybe he did. I have no idea. I don't think a psychiatrist could figure it out either. If I deny it, it's 'schizophrenia' and may never get out the next time billing at \$3000/day. Over \$32,000 in bills for services I never requested, authorised, wanted, needed or did in fact ever receive. They call this "Mental Health"? I don't know *what* to call it anymore.

"a problem discharging patients to appropriate levels of care"

It begs the question: "Why are they in there to begin with?"

Many of those people I saw in there were not frightening to me at all. Or in anyway self destructive. And in no way gravely disabled. In violation of LPS law itself. And "empty handed", without their advisements (5157) or copies of anything they had signed.

Anyway, I have no issues with this officer. Just a lot of unanswered questions. Like "why leave off the handcuffs?". That report looks pretty lonely. Anything missing? A watering can or something perhaps. Any "witnesses"? Who was the "Officer of the Year"? Who picked me up? What was the date of the supervisor review? It seems to be missing. Along with the signature and ID number. It's all just blank.

Here's another one:

"claiming that the police were after him" (report)
"claiming he wanted the police called to the area" (application form)

Let's review what the officer claimed I claimed again:

*"Mangers claimed that he was crazy and that persons were trying to kill him ..
.. claimed he wanted somebody to take him out and shoot him."*

Now that's just plain crazy.

I think it might be a good idea for us all to get together and come up with a story that won't get us all laughed out of Court. I'm gonna sue SJPDP for not letting them get to know me. I'm starting to get the feeling that most of you guys like me. And it's nice to know I'm no longer a suspect. Thanks Cybercop "007" (or whatever it was).

Geoffrey

EXHIBIT D