

IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
SIXTH APPELLATE DISTRICT

"See me" the court told me. And we are negligent.

This case is complex and a small part of an even larger complex case - 1-08-CV-109152 (misdesignated as not) now lying in remittitur as all such misdesignated and misjoined cases will against a plaintiff. "See me" the court told me. And boldly so. Twice. And it was absolutely correct (I just didn't know it at the time -- a complex case *must* begin with an ex-parte hearing). "See me" -- but this also has been redacted from the transcript. Which would have never occurred either had it been *recorded*.

Complex case website document repository: **publicforall.com** (that I'm way way behind on updating)

Appellant:

**Geoffrey Lloyd Mangers,**  
4124 Ross Park Dr., San Jose, CA 95118-1761  
Tel: (408) 978-8646 Email: geoffrey@mangers.org

Attorney for Appellant (still absent):

**The Lanchester Settlement (\$3.9 million)**  
of which I am a member and still waiting to hear from  
(with a privacy abuse issue that is not trivial).

**AND**

(the People and I walk "hand in hand" on this one)

**The People of the State of California**

Case #H038377

Previous cases:

California Supreme Court:  
Case #'s: S185817, S192334, S192335

Sixth District Appeals Court  
Case #'s: H038285

H035035 & H035318 are the same case -- misjoined,  
separating me from my CCP §382 support)

Superior Court  
Case #'s: 1-12-MH-037559 (presently appealing from)  
1-08-CV-109152 (in remittitur, to be recalled)  
also: 1-07-CV086077, 1-07-CV086085, 1-07-CV086087

# First, the Truth

**"No Reporting without Recording"**

**The blatant perjuring of these reporter's transcripts has got to stop.**

If necessary and because I fear another extension request would result in a dismissal,  
Motion (and with a Motion for leave amend and serve it by addition) to file this as my

Provisional Appellant's Opening Brief with

# Motions to Correct the Record

And with much gratitude to Glenn S Koppel for writing it for me: Motion to file an oversized brief (37,140 words).

Motion to Challenge CCRA v Judicial Council enjoining the California Judicial Council under CCP §382

Motion to designate this case as Complex  
Motion to challenge the wording of CCP §384

Motion to take judicial notice of Case# H038285  
Motion to be told what I need to know and don't.

Motions for Answer/Ruling/Opinion/(or whatever the correct word is):

Has CCRA v Judicial Council has ever been reversed (overturned)?

Does your court have the power of subpoena?

Do you know of a lawyer willing to help me with this and their phone number?

I'm out of time to be able to finish the Table of Contents. And the exact word count.

My word processor tells me that if I "copy and paste" the words from the pdf file of

*"When Push Comes to Shove Between Court Rule and Statute: The Role of Judicial Interpretation in Court Administration"*

by Glenn S. Koppel that it's 34,146 words

+ "Sisterhood":346+"No Reporting without Recording":121 = 34,613

The last time I checked this is it was 2,527 = 37140

My Writ Petition is (as I recall) somewhere around 9500 words.

Plus a few more with this.

## **I need more time.**

If it would be allowed without a dismissal I'd like to file the extension request on page 7. Otherwise this will have to do with all of the mistakes, omissions and whatever else I've forgotten at the last minute. And the best I can do at the moment.

---

The APP-006 asks the question:

*"5. The reason I need an extension to file this brief is"*

**I don't need a reason.**

What is peculiarly novel about this case is that the burden of proof lies not upon me anyway in this. But upon the *People*. Including being able to prove the reporter's transcript is truthful which it is still far from. And the *People* have as yet to meet this burden of proof. And given the present state of affairs (apparently unchanged for at least almost two decades now), that it's an open question as to whether they will *ever* be able to. Until the courts in this state are able to summon the courage to catch up with the rest of the nation. I don't know how to say it any better than Glenn S Koppel did back in 1999 at the end of his treatise

*"When Push Comes to Shove Between Court Rule and Statute: The Role of Judicial Interpretation in Court Administration":*

**"Courts need to openly acknowledge their role in articulating the constitutional value of a balance of power in court administration and openly endeavor to promote a vigorous and independent administrative role for the courts."**

I need more time simply to complete my reading and understanding of this paper itself (so does the court ~34,146 words) that I've been speeding through and realise that Glenn Koppel has already written my Appellant's Opening Brief for me and am greatly indebted to him for doing so.

*"Big Sister is watching you"*



That picture (© Ninth Judicial Circuit Court of Florida) just "says it all". And so powerfully. And so well. Thank you Florida. Any Royalties you are due you are certainly more than welcome to. <http://www.ninja9.org/courtadmin/courtreporters/courtreporting-technology.htm>  
What is particularly noteworthy about that picture is that those reporters are not left to sit all alone in a courtroom.

## Judicial Temperament

improves remarkably even in the worst of them becoming quite *Honorable* in spite of themselves: when they know it's being recorded. And for the *Best* of them. Well, the public just needs to see it. The way I have. And make sure they're reelected. And/or elevated. Like the *Honorable* Kevin J Murphy, the first ever judge I finally appeared before and interacted with.

Judge Murphy's caseload was probably 20/hour. He began by asking me about the long list of defendants I had as he flipped through the list reading some of them off. There were a lot of more important items and unanswered questioned I had of the court and no interest in wasting my time on it in the 2 or 3 minutes I had and began by running them off as quickly as possible:

"I need to talk to Jim Rumble (then the court's General Counsel)" ..

"I don't think anyone who joins me as a plaintiff should have to pay \$320 to file a response" ..

"A summons is a heart attack" ..

He answered "I don't understand" .. "I don't understand" ..

Then he invited me to pick up one of the little yellow cards on the defendant's table opposite. As I began to walk over I heard him explain they were about how to get help at the self-service center. That's *all* I needed to hear at that point after all the work and pain I had been through the past two years trying to get it all before the court only to realise it hadn't been read, "*self-service center*" -- might have well have said "let them eat cake" and at this point I didn't care if the bailiff "showed me the door" as I let him have it:  
"I've been over there! You have to get there at 6:30 in the morning. That's one of my issues ..

(and quite contemptuously) *if the court 'understands' ..*.

Instead of being thrown out I heard the words:

**"The court understands .. *Perfectly*"**

and following quickly, the spur "or I'll have to dismiss it". And it was the last I've seen or heard of from Judge Kevin Murphy being replaced by the yearly civil rotation by the gentle and Hon. Judge Elfving. Then the Hon. Mary Jo Levinger. Then the Hon. Judge Neal Cabrinha (I'm out of time to explain about the recusals and why). Ultimately being demurred out, dismissed ex-parte without my case even being allowed to see the light of day. Because I couldn't get it served by anyone or all written down and printed fast enough -- I'm constantly beset with software problems. "That was my second amended complaint" I told Judge Cabrinha "I mis-titled it". I like Judge Cabrinha. He wants my case on appeal: **"When are you going to do that!"** he demanded. I needed to hear it.

I wish there were recordings of *all* of them. Honorable all. And there's much much more and I'm out of time.

---

It was *Judge Cain* who instructed DDA Aaron West to subpoena my medical records over my objection at my first hearing with him without even allowing me to make an opening statement. At my 3<sup>rd</sup> and last hearing with him, he didn't even believe what he was saying himself denying it. DDA West's last words to me were that El Camino Hospital never *did* change my legal status. And never appeared again. After all the hearings were over, DDA Denise Raabe (her replacement) told me "Aaron West is not available" over the phone. "She's a witness" I told her.

# "Cooking the Transcript"

If it's happened to me, it's undoubtedly happened to others.

No one can be guaranteed a fair trial until the court administration regains control of its dominion including their own record of what has transpired in their courtrooms and can authenticate it with an actual recording. Which can easily be made available to the public for review. The cost of which and the ability to do so in this day and age is trivial. And the cost of *not* doing so is the Integrity of the Judiciary itself and the Trust the public has in it.

## To the California Court Reporters Association

"Edward R Murrow"

It's a name that should be the "gold standard" for every reporter. But I don't know how to make money with it. Or if someday we may reach a level of Justice which is profitable for those who seek it. Perhaps your organisation might do some 'brainstorming' in this area and come up with some suggestions on how to make it happen.

### **Court records belong with the court.**

Where they may be secured. And made available to inspection by the public. This includes the recordings of the court proceedings themselves. Which technology can now provide us with. And not be made unavailable for those who cannot afford it. I had to pay first, for a transcript that is still far far from the Truth.

### **Electronic Court Reporting**

Embrace this new and wonderful technology. Some of you already have and do indeed record hearings. If only reporters are allowed to, then demand nothing less from ALL of your members.

### **Separation of Judge and Reporter**

Separation of Church and State: we all know this one, yes? And why it is wise, yes? I hope I'm not the first to broach the concept regarding the courts. If it's happened to Pam Cardiff it's undoubtedly been done to others. I like Melody Dickinson. "See me" the court told me. Twice. And it was absolutely correct. It's not wise for a reporter to "second guess" what a judge has said. Or attempt to protect them from they may believe they may have said in error. Melody Dickinson never reported any of my subsequent appearances before Judge Cabrinha. And my coaxing her into acknowledging the missing words have not been successful.

### **It's "how it makes you look"**

I'm not expecting it to be easy to make an argument that asks you to give up your livelihoods. Your lobby is as powerful as ever. Why? I thought the purpose of unions was to protect the unprotected from predation and exploitation. Where's the union to protect those who've been abused and seek redress and cannot afford it? Where's the union protecting the Truth?

Abandon *CCRA v Judicial Council*. Upgrade to learning the new technologies that promise an era that is cleansing the past of its corruptions and all its other problems. Ensuring Justice for ALL and that \*everyone\* will be treated Honorably. And by every single judge they are brought before. And can prove it beyond any reasonable doubt whatever.

I need more time, but fear if I file another extension request it will result in a dismissal:

**Motion for Answer/Ruling/Opinion**/(or whatever the correct word for it is):

Has "CCRA v Judicial Council" (aka CCRA-I, CCRA-II et al.) ever been reversed/overturned?

**If** it is still in effect:

**Motion to Challenge/Reverse/Abolish**/render it null and void, harmless, moot  
(or whatever the correct word is, to consign this mistake to the history books).

**And enjoin the California Judicial Council under CCP §382**

*to take control of their courts*

in a CCP §378 class action suit on my behalf and that of all of the other disenfranchised litigants that have been victimized by these perjured transcripts and been denied a fair trial by court reporters (suborned, incompetent or otherwise) who refuse to record hearings when they are the only ones allowed to and then wash their transcripts of anything that would hold their judges to account.

"No your Honor, this is what you said.. here, let me play it back for you." Why can't Pam Cardiff say this to Judge Thomas Cain?

*"5. The reason I need an extension to file this brief is"* (and/or file this AOB provisionally with leave to amend by addition)

Additionally, apparently I need to challenge case law which is not only in violation of Federal law (HIPAA but the Constitution itself on the issue of privacy. I'm not only a novice in all this but a Privacy Abuse Survivor as well. *None* of which would have come about had the proceedings been recorded.

*"5. The reason I need an extension to file this brief is"* (and/or file this AOB provisionally with leave to amend by addition)

Further, I've got some serious financial and survival problems to attend to. Where's my Counsel? \$3.9 million. Why haven't all "cy près" "liquid assets" "unpaid residue" been turned into Law Stamps. Or a call center that wants to hear all the questions I have that need to be answered.

**Motion to challenge the wording of CCP §384**--which has created a class of unpaid plaintiffs.

*"5. The reason I need an extension to file this brief is"*

I have a lot of parties I need to serve..

I need more time to complete my Motion to Correct the Record and serve it upon the Legislature. Which constitutes the core issue of my appeal and AOB anyway. I need to make the point to them as to why AB251 is so important and to do so effectively. And from what I've heard thus far Don Wagner will need all the help he can get. Anyway his office (David) tells me I may still have another month before it comes up for hearing. That's my major deadline. I need more time to complete the writing of my Motion to Correct the Record and serve everyone with it. Almost 200 copies I'm hoping to limit to 10 pages double sided. Including the Proof of Service (sample draft copy follows), just getting *that* together has been a major challenge with all the software problems that go with laying it all out (apart from all the rest of the software problems). I wrote to my favorite pro bono RPS asking if he'd like to "do the honors" in serving the state with it at the Capitol. It's a long drive, I'll probably wind up having to do it myself. The postage is far too much for me.

I'm making progress, good progress, on completing the writing of my Motion to Correct the Record. Which is what my AOB is all about anyway and my understanding is that it is \*everything\*. After which I have only 15 minutes of communication with the court itself. Which strikes me, being a novice, as being prejudicially inadequate considering the amount of help I need in this. And even more reason why the quality of my AOB is so important. I have no interest whatever in 'winning' this case. I have \*every\* interest in ensuring that I (and everyone else) brought before the court be treated *honorably* and be given a fair trial before a judge who is trustworthy and with a temperament that is balanced and not prejudicial. **Always**. And know that they can be held accountable for anything less.

**Motion to be told what I need to know and don't\***.

I know that probably sounds somewhat confusing. And expect it will probably be denied. But I want the court to appreciate I could use some help in all this. Can you tell me of a lawyer you know who would be willing to help me with this? Pro bono.

\*: e.g. the court was entirely correct in denying my Motion to Correct the Record. Because it had not been properly served. But it never told me why. And trying to figure it out each time gets confusing and places an undue burden upon me.  
It's going to take a while to serve the state Legislature.

## **Motion to designate this case as Complex**

## Proof of Service on the Legislature Parties Served - California State Senate

Senators (Judiciary Committee in Bold)	District	Room	Agent accepting Service (if blank, served by mail) Please PRINT name -- thank you	Comments
Party				X or checkmark
<b>Anderson, Joel</b>	36 R	2054		
Berryhill, Tom	14 R	3076		
Beall, Jim	15 D	2068		
Block, Marty	30 D	4090		
Calderon, Ron	30 D	5066		
Cannella, Anthony	12 R	3048		
<b>Corbett, Ellen M.</b>	10 D	313		
Correa, Juan	34 D	5052		
de León, Kevin	22 D	5105		
DeSaulnier, Mark	07 D	5035		
Emmerson, Bill	23 R	5082		
<b>Evans, Norleen (Chair)</b>	02 D	4088		
Fuller, Jean	18 R	3063		
Gaines, Ted	04 R	5070		
Galgiani, Cathleen	05 D	4083		
Hancock, Loni	09 D	2082		
Hernandez, Ed	24 D	2080		
Hill, Jerry	13 D	5064		
Huff, Bob	29 R	301		
<b>Jackson, Hannah-Beth</b>	19 D	5080		
Knight, Steve	21 R	2048		
Lara, Ricardo	33 D	5050		
<b>Leno, Mark</b>	11 D	5100		
Lieu, Ted W.	28 D	401		
Liu, Carol	25 D	5097		
<b>Monning, Bill</b>	17 D	4066		
Nielsen, Jim	04 R	4062		
Padilla, Alex	20 D	4038		
Pavley, Fran	27 D	4035		
Price, Jr., Curren D.	26 D	2059		
Roth, Richard	31 D	4032		
Steinberg, Darrell	06 D	205		
<b>Walters, Mimi (AC VC)</b>	37 R	3086		
Wolk, Lois	03 D	5114		
Wright, Roderick D.	35 D	2032		
Wyland, Mark	38 R	4048		
Yee, Leland Y.	08 D	4074		
Vacant, SD16	16	5061		
Vacant, SD32	32	3056		
Vacant, SD40	40	3082		

Proof of Service upon the State of California et al. — Page 11

Proof of Service on the Legislature  
Parties Served - California State Assembly

Parties Served		California State Assembly	
Assembly Member (Judiciary Committee in Bold)	District	Party	Room
Hernández, Roger	48	D	4146
Holden, Chris R.	41	D	5119
Hueso, Ben	80	D	5155
Jones, Brian W.	71	R	3141
<b>Jones-Sawyer Sr., Reginald</b>	59	D	5144
Levine, Marc	10	D	2137
Linder, Eric	60	R	2016
Logue, Dan	03	R	4158
Lowenthal, Bonnie	70	D	3152
<b>Maienschein, Brian</b>	77	R	3098
Mansoor, Allan R.	74	R	4177
Medina, Jose	61	D	5135
Melendez, Melissa	67	R	4009
Mitchell, Holly	54	D	2163
Morell, Mike	40	R	4144
Mullin, Kevin	22	D	3126
<b>Muratsuchi, Al</b>	66	D	4117
Nazarian, Adrin	46	D	4015
Nestande, Brian	42	R	4139
Olsen, Kristin	12	R	2111
Pan, Richard	09	D	6005
Patterson, Jim	23	R	4102
Perea, Henry T.	31	D	3120
Pérez, John (Speaker)	53	D	219
Pérez, V. Manuel	56	D	4112
Quirk, Bill	20	D	2175
Quirk-Silva, Sharon	65	D	5175
Rendon, Anthony	63	D	2136
Salas, Jr., Rudy	32	D	4162
Skinner, Nancy	15	D	3160
<b>Stone, Mark</b>	29	D	4164
Ting, Philip Y.	19	D	3173
Torres, Norma J.	52	D	2179
<b>Wagner, Donald P.</b>	68	R	2158
Waldron, Marc	75	R	5128
Weber, Shirley N.	79	D	5158
<b>Wieckowski, Bob</b>	25	D	4016
Wilk, Scott	38	R	4153
Williams, Das	37	D	4005
Yamada, Mariko	04	D	5160

בְּמִזְבֵּחַ תְּמִימָה תְּמִימָה תְּמִימָה תְּמִימָה תְּמִימָה

**Proof of Service on the Legislature  
Parties Served - California State Assembly**

Assembly Member (Judiciary Committee in Bold)	Room	Agent accepting Service (if blank, served by mail) Please PRINT name - thank you	Comments
District	Party		
Achadjian, Katcho	35 R	4098	
<b>Alejo, Luis A.</b>	30 D	2117	
Allen, Travis	72 R	5126	
Ammiano, Tom	17 D	3146	
Atkins, Toni	78 D	319	
Bigelow, Franklin	05 R	4116	
Bloom, Richard	50 D	3132	
Blumenfield, Bob	45 D	6026	
Bocanegra, Raul	39 D	4167	
Bonilla, Susan	14 D	4140	
Bonta, Rob	18 D	6025	
Bradford, Steven	62 D	5136	
Brown, Cheryl R.	47 D	2196	
Buchanan, Joan	16 D	2148	
Calderon, Jan C.	57 D	5150	
Campos, Nora	27 D	3013	
<b>Chau, Ed</b>	49 D	6011	
Chávez, Rocky J.	76 R	2170	
Chesbro, Wesley	02 D	2141	
Conway, Connie	26 R	3104	
Cooley, Ken	08 D	2188	
Dahlia, Brian	04 R	2174	
Duffy, Tom	69 D	2160	
<b>Dickinson, Roger</b>	07 D	2013	
Donnelly, Tim	33 R	2002	
Eggman, Susan	13 D	2003	
Fong, Paul	26 D	5016	
Fox, Steve	36 D	3149	
Frazier, Jim	11 D	3091	
Gaines, Ben	66 R	2130	
<b>Garcia, Cristina</b>	58 D	5164	
Gatto, Mike	43 D	2114	
Gomez, Jimmy	21 D	2176	
Gordon, Richard	24 D	4126	
<b>Gorel, Jeff</b>	44 R	6031	
Gray, Adam	21 D	6012	
Grove, Shannon L.	34 R	4288	
Hagman, Cliff	25 R	4130	
Hall, III, Isadore	64 D	3123	
Harkey, Diane L.	73 R	6027	

ମୁଣ୍ଡିଲା କିମ୍ବା କିମ୍ବା କିମ୍ବା କିମ୍ବା କିମ୍ବା

Provisional Appellant's Opening Brief - Geoffrey Mangers and the People of the State of California  
Sixth District Court of Appeals Case #H038377

I need more time: if an extension would be permitted without a dismissal, I wish to file it:

**TO BE FILED IN THE COURT OF APPEAL**

**APP-006**

COURT OF APPEAL,	Sixth	APPELLATE DISTRICT, DIVISION	Court of Appeal Case Number: <b>H038377</b>
PARTY Geoffrey Mangers 4124 Ross Park Dr. San Jose, California 95118-1761 TELEPHONE NO.: (408) 978-8646			(Name, State Bar number, and address): Email Address: <a href="mailto:geoffrey@mangers.org">geoffrey@mangers.org</a> Lead case website document repository: <a href="http://www.publicforall.com">www.publicforall.com</a> (that I'm way behind on updating)
Attorney: <u>Lanchester Settlement et al.</u> (see Proof of Service)			Superior Court Case Number: <b>1-12-MH-037559</b>
			<b>FOR COURT USE ONLY</b>
<b>APPELLANT:</b> Geoffrey Mangers <b>RESPONDENT:</b> The People of the State of California			
<b>APPLICATION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE BRIEF</b>			

1. I (name): **Geoffrey Mangers**

request that the time to file  appellant's opening brief (AOB)  respondent's brief (RB)  appellant's reply brief (ARB), now due on (date): **March 18, 2013** be extended to (date):  
 2. I have received a rule 8.220 notice.

I'll take whatever I can get. I gather I have 10 days to serve the state Supreme Court if it's dismissed. Don Wagner's office tells me I may still have another month before AB251 comes up for hearing -- that's my major deadline.

3. I have received: at least 2 I believe

previous extensions to file this brief.  the following previous extensions:

(number of extensions): **0** extensions by stipulation totaling (total number of days):  
 (number of extensions): **2+?** extensions from the court totaling (total number of days):

Almost a year.  
I'm out of time to try and look it up.

4. I am unable to file a stipulation to an extension because

I haven't heard from Counsel yet. Or The People of the State of California (either).

No one's complained (why should the court?). I'm working diligently on it every single day. Please be patient.

5. The reason I need an extension to file this brief is (please specify; see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.63, for factors used in determining whether to grant

I have some Motions (following) that need to be addressed first (apart from many many other items the court still needs to address -- see the following several pages. BTW, I'm making good progress on my AOB. The quality is improving. Anyway, I don't need a reason--the burden of proof *in this case* lies not upon *me* to prove the reporter's transcript has not been perjured -- is it signed under penalty thereof?

7. The record in this case is: **still not in order**

Appendix/Clerk's Transcript:	Volumes (#)	Pages (#)	Date filed
"Augmentation"!?	1	50	8/12/12
This is ludicrous!	3	53	?
Where's the recording?	pending		

8.  The trial court has ordered the proceedings in this case stayed until this appeal is decided.

9. For attorneys filing application on behalf of client:

I certify that I have delivered a copy of this application to my client (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.60).

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the information above is true and correct.

Date: **March 18, 2013**

**Geoffrey Mangers**

(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)

(SIGNATURE OF PARTY OR ATTORNEY)

EXTENSION OF TIME IS:

**ORDER**

- Granted to  
 Denied

Date:

(SIGNATURE OF PRESIDING JUSTICE)

**Page 1 of 2**

Form Approved for Optional Use  
 Judicial Council of California  
 APP-006 [Rev. July 1, 2010]

**APPLICATION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE BRIEF**  
**(Appellate)**

iles of Court rules 8.50,  
 8.60, 8.63, 8.12  
[www.courtinfo.ca.gov](http://www.courtinfo.ca.gov)

## Parties Served

Additionally the Judicial Council of California and the California Court Reporters Association have been served with a copies of the Writ Petition titled "The Petition of Geoffrey Lloyd Mangers"

Supreme Court of California 350 McAllister Street San Francisco, CA 94102-4797	Kamala D. Harris, Attorney General Dept. of Justice, State of California 1300 "I" Street Sacramento, CA 95814-2919	Jeffrey F. Rosen, District Attorney Office of the District Attorney 70 West Hedding Street, West Wing San Jose, CA 95110
<del>Judicial Council of California 455 Golden Gate Ave. San Francisco, California 94102 3688</del>	<del>California Court Reporters Association e/o Executive Director Jerry Packer 65 Enterprise Aliso Viejo, CA 92656</del>	

Where's the Appellant's Counsel? -- The Lanchester Settlement et al. ANY Counsel?

Hon. Richard A. Kramer, Dept. 304  
Lanchester Settlement Administrator  
San Francisco County Superior Court  
400 McAllister St.  
San Francisco, CA 94102

### A dismissal is a denial of due process.

To unilaterally and summarily dismiss this case with neither just cause nor the consent of *either* party including the People themselves before I'm allowed to make my case to it in any way whatever bespeaks of an activist court that is highly prejudiced against Justice itself. I will protest any dismissal of it to our state Supreme Court. Why is justice rushing? For the hills?

I would ask the court not only to be a little more patient but also to be courageous. Remember the People have not objected at all to any of my previous extension requests. And I'm sure they are as impatient as I am to see it. And I have little doubt that there are some in our Legislature who also await it. It is absolutely vital that our Legislature understand why \*all\* public hearings must be public. And that the public be allowed to see and hear it and *whenever* they wish to and "*at their pleasure*" at the minimum" in the court's record department.

I'm hoping to also try to get the CCRA on board with me on this as well -- "manning the cameras" and why it is in their best interest to be **demanding** it from their leadership. As well as the state. To protect not only the Integrity of the Judiciary but that of their own future and profession as well. It's "how it makes them look".

I need to file a "Provisional AOB" because the navigation in all this is murky for me at the moment. Remember I'm a novice with no help whatever in it. Please be patient. I'm working diligently on trying to complete it *every single day* and doing the best I can. I'm making progress. The quality of my AOB is improving. And it is \*everything\* to me and the only chance I understand I really have to make my case on this issue and it is vital that \*someone\* take it on because of the serious damage it is bringing upon the Judiciary. And I hope the court appreciates it and does not take it lightly.

And once again, I'm out of time

This Provisional Opening Brief on this complex case (part of a much larger complex case already filed with the court and on my case document repository website [www.publicforall.com](http://www.publicforall.com) now lying in remittitur as all such cases will) is to be amended by addition. I'm out of time to explain the rest.

### Motion to take judicial notice of Case #H038285U

my Writ Petition on file in your court and the central issue of this appeal and why these *Motions to Correct the Record* are so important. I will include in this service copies to the CCRA and Judicial Council of California.

The California Supreme Court, Kamala D. Harris, Attorney General, and Jeffrey F. Rosen, District Attorney have already been served with copies. It's what this case is all about anyway.

I wish to thank the Hon. Richard A Kramer for reading it "cover to cover" which I learned as I gave my objection to the Lanchester Settlement that I'm still waiting to hear from. Which is more than I can say for the Sixth District Court of Appeals that never responded to my Petition for a Writ of Prohibition until *after* my HIPAA privacy rights had already been violated. How could it say anything else but "denied" without having "egg on its face"?

Finally I wish to express my undying gratitude to Glenn S Koppel for writing my Appellant's Opening Brief for me that follows.

"See me" Judge Cabrinha told me and he was absolutely right. A Complex case *must* begin with an ex-parte hearing.  
"See me" the court told me and we are negligent.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that all of this is true and correct.

Geoffrey Mangers March 20, 2013

Addended: April 4, 2013

My Appellant's Opening Brief:

<http://digitalcommons.law.scu.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1388&context=lawreview>

(click on the link above-- I don't know how to make it open from a PDF file yet on a web page, nor can I afford Adobe Acrobat yet)