

ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State Bar number, and address): <b>Geoffrey Mangers, 4124 Ross Park Dr., San Jose, CA 95118-1761</b> My website case document filing repository: PUBLICFORALL.COM (sorry late on completing it, am struggling to update it) Phone: (408) 978-8646 if busy 978-3149  TELEPHONE NO.: _____ FAX NO. (Optional): _____ E-MAIL ADDRESS (Optional): <b>geoffrey@mangers.org</b> ATTORNEY FOR (Name): <b>in pro per (because I can't afford a lawyer)</b>	FOR COURT USE ONLY           CASE NUMBER:  <b>1-08-CV-109152</b>
<b>SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF</b> STREET ADDRESS: <b>191 North First St.</b> MAILING ADDRESS: <b>191 North First St.</b> CITY AND ZIP CODE: <b>San Jose, CA 95113</b> BRANCH NAME: <b>Civil</b>	
PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER: <b>Geoffrey Mangers</b> DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT: <b>The County Of Santa Clara, Et Al</b>	
<b>DECLARATION</b>	

### Exhibit SAC-1

The following 13 pages are to be filed as an Exhibit (because I don't have time to complete the formatting) and included with my Appeal as well as my Second Amended Complaint I'm requesting more time to complete -- the whole thing would impair *anyone*. The entire list to be enjoined is still truly unknown to me and I've read in the California code that if I don't know who they are then I must state so.

I'm recapitulating the page on "Accountability" because I fear the concept may have been missed. And why organisation charts with unambiguous lines of supervision are so important. And keeping the historical record of them. Right down to the person you actually deal with. Receptionists for instance.

And to clarify a bit more, I'm not suing the *entirety* of Santa Clara County, only lines of supervision down to EPS. Doctors, nurses, records and billing. Likewise for PSI and El Camino Hospital etc. The title of the suit was changed by the court. My defendants began as the *The LPS ("Mental Health") \$Malpractice\$ Complex*. Until I realised that my plight is that of *all* unrepresented litigants. There are several levels of classes in this complaint I've already outlined in the CM-020's (extensions) I haven't had a chance to convert yet.

This suit is an 'enjoinment' calling upon the "full heart and lungs" of Sections 378-379 of the Civil Code of Procedure. Because there's no way I can win it alone with all the vested interests involved and with the problems as intractable as they are. What follows is a list of parties I've already filed along with a few others. And a clarification (I hope) of what I intend to achieve with it all.

I'm not a lawyer and cannot quote "chapter and verse" of the California Code, let alone the Federal one. But have read Sections 378-382 of the Civil Code of Procedure after the PLD-PI clued me to 382 and all I think any naïve indigent really needs to know. Section 382 (aka "which side are you on") also serves as a very useful "lawyer summons" -- (a "jury summons" if you will for a lawyer). Also in this I'm heavily reliant upon ADR that's been denied to me. And with it the ability to lobby and 'flip' some of my 'defendants' to join me as. Hopefully enough wherein I might prevail. Which requires a rather unique Summons for most of them that I can't find a judicial council form for (as does the one for the Media q.v.). As well as the Summons for the entire membership of the California Bar Association itself including the SCCBA. That's a lot of trees to cut down if I did it on paper searching for one who might be willing to join me as a plaintiff under Section 382 on the issue of unrepresented litigants.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Date: December 3, 2009

Geoffrey Mangers  
(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(SIGNATURE OF DECLARANT)

- Attorney for  Plaintiff  Petitioner  Defendant
- Respondent  Other (Specify):

# Accountability


A line of supervision up to the "circle on top"

**Section 379** (of the Civil Code of Procedure): I don't know why it looks like "*reverse class action*" to me.

Allowing one to sue up a line of supervision. To find out if and where it's broken (and why). *Where the plaintiff is in doubt as to the person from whom he or she is entitled to redress, he or she may join two or more deendants, with the intent that the question as to which, if any, of the defendants is liable, and to what extent, may be determined between the parties.*

**Defendants:** a *line of accountability* up to (what I call for lack of a better term at the moment) the "circle on top"-- an Assembly, House, Senate, Congress, Council or **Board** of: **Directors**, Trustees, Supervisors, Commissioners, Delegates, Governors, Regents etc. Which (typically) has a Chair. Who (supposedly) makes sure it's all run properly "all the way down" the "chain of command". Happily, most of the time it works. Unless there's a problem somewhere. Perhaps even an intractable one. That even the Grand Jury itself can do nothing about.

Why the "org-chart" is so important. I still don't know what it actually is. And the names of everyone. This one is sort of an "estimate" of one entity:



## Causes of Action (partial list)

Writ of mandate  
Obstruction of Justice (of an unrepresented litigant)

*billing for services no sane person would ever request:*  
False procurement & collection, over-billing

Product Liability (mental health)  
Malpractice (both Legal and Medical)  
Civil Rights (false imprisonment)

Fraud  
Defamation  
Privacy

RICO?

Every individual member "from the top down" may split in different ways on each cause. Everyone is different. No two are alike. Including their 'Board' members. 10 causes of action: that's 20 lawyers. Not a million. Only the Summons.

*"one or more may sue or defend for the benefit of all"*

And I have absolutely no idea how it will all "distill out" on "the other side" after they are all served and joined. Which will take a while

Originally, I estimated the number of parties to be joined at somewhere between 500-1000. As I counted all the members of each 'Board of Directors' etc. and on down the management line of accountability to myself at the time. Until I realised that my problems are those of *everyone* in my class of "*unrepresented litigants*". That Chief Justice Ronald George knows remains acute and has *urged* the Bar to do better on.

Enough with the *urging* already.

## Initial (partial) List of Parties to be served with my Appeal Notice

(compilation from what I've already sent Lt. Frontella requesting it be included with the service of the following parties)

First, let me explain that the list of parties I need to notify with my Appeal is quite lengthy and the assembly of the list has a certain amount of complexity to it I'm still trying to resolve. Including just the compilation itself. As well as some other items that require "some delicacy" I'm still working on trying to figure out how to do as well as explaining the purpose of Section 382 of the California Civil Code of Procedure to the parties intended to join me as plaintiffs. And why they have to be listed as defendants (along with the 'true' defendants) which on the surface can appear confusing. I wish the court website (which lists little save the names of the parties and a general category of the type of complaint e.g. "medical malpractice" etc. and plaintiff/defendant status) would have another column to identify "Section 382" defendants: i.e the ones intended to be joined as plaintiffs. In fact, the complaint form specifically asks for it (and I'm glad I looked it up). Instead, county counsel is forbidding me to talk to \*anyone\* I've listed as a defendant telling me they don't want to join me but I don't even know if they actually talked to all of my parties or just their secretaries. ADR *requires* that I communicate with my parties anyway.

Also, there is the matter of those parties I've never been allowed to lobby to join me as plaintiffs. Or even been able to serve yet. And if I were allowed to speak to them might easily persuade them to (or maybe after having interviewed them decide that I don't want them to join me at all and/or vice versa).

Anyway, it would be nice if someone could in some fashion "break the news" to them gently before they receive the letters to let them know what is going on. And especially to Chris Bielecki. I left my request publicly with the City Council last Oct. 27 inviting Chris to join me as a plaintiff and looked up to see nothing but smiles. And hoping that it meant I could expect a 'yes' from Chris (and Anastasio also).

And then there are those parties *hidden in darkness* I don't think I may *ever* discover the identities of. Indeed, I still await the organisation charts with unambiguous lines of supervision I've requested from the county. And repeated calls to PSI have become absolutely futile. The California code I've been struggling to read up on says that if I don't know who all the parties are then I must state so. I simply don't yet. And may well be far far too numerous to even begin to try to list. Like the Tennessee Bar Association who's complaint department seems to be at a saturation level. And couldn't even seem to find a lawyer listed anywhere willing to defend them. Trying to get Christopher Howard (PSI's General Counsel) to respond to my calls.

Finally, I wish to state for the record that I wish I had been given a complex designation which I requested twice and was denied. And without which makes this suit almost virtually impossible to bring.

---

I understand there are around 200,000 lawyers in California. Ultimately, it seems that I will need to enjoin them all (under Section 382) on the issue of unrepresented litigants. Hoping to find one who might be willing to join me as a plaintiff. If I can't, perhaps I might have one chance in the half-million membership of the American Bar Association that someone might be willing to. Judge Cabrinha has given me until Dec. 4 to complete my Second Amended Complaint which will include additional parties. In the meantime I still need to finish compiling the list I already have, the balance of which I will have to post later. Which includes **collection agencies**, the enforcement arm of this *LPS ("Mental Health") \$Malpractice\$ Complex*. But if I ever hope to get some sleep tonight will have to list later.

---

---

There's a reason I'm beginning the list of parties to be served with AT&T who I have a complaint against. But interestingly are also joined in a peculiar way with me as a plaintiff involving a crime perpetrated against them last April that *both* of us would like to see solved. When they do and find out it has nothing whatever to do with me, my first feeling will be disappointment. And then relief. Feeling a whole lot safer driving around alone again in this world. Like I used to.

**AT&T Board of Directors**  
**c/o Ann E. Meuleman, Senior Vice President and Secretary**  
**208 S. Akard St.**  
**Dallas, TX 75202**

---

I'll start with a brief description of the complaint that stems from my attempts to resolve a simple identity issue in the early part of 2008:

### **AT&T: "construction defect"**

A communications company that can't solve the simplest of communications issues: identity. Someone in their company who knows me personally. Solving the problem took months (too long and bizarre to try to explain here). Whereupon my system was down for weeks. Compounded by their subsequent billing error that led me to believe my identity was again being misused (it wasn't). Almost a year later I had to fix it again (which I made them do on a warrantee item). Anyway what bothered me most about it was the Integrity one "high finance". It's not the AT&T I used to know back in the 70's. That called me first, worried if someone else might have been billing my number.

---

### **A \$250,000 Reward**

A few days after I originally filed my series of billion dollar suits on May 17, 2007 (none of which included SJPD at all, by the way), I experienced two instances of very aggressive following (one right after the other) on May 21 that I logged in with SJPD. I've had several instances of following involving this unlimited class-action suit (by the way, I've come to realise that some of these instances could very well be protective and believe a few definitely were -- I like that very much, thanks).

Anyway, last February it happened again, twice. And in early in April I found myself calling all over SJPD asking for security advice. And in a state of much distress. And grateful that they responded on April 8 by sending an officer round (who found me hiding in the bathroom fearing I might be taken back to EPS again and now dearly regret I never did come out to meet him). He asked me what I feared. It was traveling to court that I'd need to do for my upcoming appearance in a few days. Anyway, I do very much appreciate what they came up with to help me build my confidence.

Just after midnight the following day, a major communications artery was severed only a few miles away from where I live. Taking down 911 which I learned is routed through Vallejo. Between which is San Carlos where they were also cut. These saboteurs weren't amateurs. I've read AT&T has a \$250,000 reward out for solving this crime. "Chauncey Bailey" I'm not. If anything happens to me I want to be able to tell my story first. There's a peculiar security about being poor and unknown. And another security about telling others of ones fears. No matter how paranoid, crazy or unlikely it may sound. Because, ironically, the act of simply telling others of your fears, helps to prevent them from happening. Some things truly *\*are\** coincidences. Unfortunate ones. The world is full of them. But until one knows otherwise it's best to treat them as not. Maybe these saboteurs wished to make someone *\*else\** fearful. In any event, I wish someone would definitively solve this crime.

The county mental health services and the millions in unexplained cost overruns have been the subject of several grand jury investigations now. The problem has skyrocketed and become totally out of control. And the only solution to it I know of is a lawsuit. An *unlimited* one. On behalf of all the others in my class its been done to. I've endured a lifetime of self-esteem issues. Being poor, overcoming stuttering, et. al. I think I know something about what mental health is. And what it costs and *"I want my money back"*. For myself and all the rest of those in my class who've been sold a "bill of goods" that are more than deficient. And deceived into believing it is something it definitely isn't. From corporations like PSI that have turned it into what I experienced in May of 2006 that haunts me to this day.

What makes me fearful is the high degree of profitability of this mental health malpractice complex (which includes aggressive collection agencies). Emblematic of which is PSI whose profits are "Enron-esque" from what I can only describe from my experience at Fremont as nothing more than a locked dormitory devoid of any substantive resources (including telephones). Billing and misdiagnosing their so-called 'patients' (inmates actually, who've committed no crime whatever) \$2000/day for services never rendered in bloated and incompetent medical records that display little if any insight and containing nothing mentally healthy for anyone.

---

I now feel safer having said this, because if anything happens to me, the people I'd recommend starting with are the ones who compelled me to take those pills against my will while I was at EPS on the evening of May 18, 2006.

This is from my medical record: PT# 537534778 MR#51672075. And it is truthful:

---

5/18/06 0900 .. "No pain or other discomfort reported to staff. No apparent distress noted" L Alve  
5/18/06 1300 .. "No pain reported by pt at this time." .. L Alve  
5/18/06 1450 .. "Denies pain or other discomforts." .. L Alve

---

The following fact is not in the medical records. Sometime in the evening of 5/18/06 I was told by an unknown male staff member that I was going to be transferred and that if I wanted to get into a good hospital I'd have to be medicated first. Medication I consistently refused. And capitulated fearing the worst if I didn't comply. A woman appeared on my left and removed the foil from a package that looked legitimate. Another woman appeared on my right with a pill in a paper cup who sat down looking straight ahead and not at me at all nor ever actually witnessing my taking this pill with a strange marking on it (the best my memory can do at the moment is something like a "double-thunderbolt" on the side, it was round maybe a centimeter in diameter and no number I can recall).

Both left after I took them. It might be nothing, it just seemed odd. I have no idea who either of them were (especially the one on the right). Later I was given more pills. At one point I remember my legs getting a bit wobbly. And feeling uneasy. And then the beginning of some abdominal pain. Which after I arrived at Fremont (where I was given more unknown pills I never wanted to take from people I didn't know either and still don't) eventually became so severe I was bedridden for days by it. Needing a wheelchair for ambulation that I had to struggle into each time the pain returned and *ask* for the pills to alleviate it. But not the other ones that were brought to me and couldn't refuse. I don't know why they were so eager to get pictures after I arrived there instead of ordering X-rays as Dr. Mueller did at Good Sam did asking me why I had waited so long. Nothing showed up on them .. "bruised kidney" -- I don't buy it. I was limp during the event. And walking around fine at EPS for almost a day:

Continuing from the medical record (Frayer's quoting me is accurate):

---

5/19/06 0830 *Dr. Johnson advised of pts #4 ABD/R flank pain ..*  
*"It started yesterday after I took the meds" .. took meds @ 2330 5/18/06.. M Frayer*

---

Dr. Johnson never saw me. And there's nothing whatever he wrote about it in the medical records either. I don't know which pills caused it. All I know is that I was walking around fine and in no pain whatever for almost a full day. I know my body and what's been done to it "by what and by whom". And that there was nothing of any physical consequence that happened between me and Chris that night. What happened between us was a laugh. But what was subsequently done to us by the county (and PSI) wasn't. And it *still* isn't. And has only left me with more unanswered questions. Serious ones. Had the county performed in its proper role and picked up where SJPD left off, within minutes I'd have found myself apologising to them all for having inconvenienced them after which a simple phone call back to my residence would have resolved the rest and sent back home with my laughter for a good night's sleep where I belonged. And that 5150 would never have come about at all.

On AUGUST 26, 2009 I told Judge Cabrinha:

***"These are officers who put their lives on the line for me!"***

On the night of May 17, 2006, *Medals* for two of San José's finest  
(*"The Order of the Roasted Hero"*)

**Ofc. Chris Bielecki**  
**San José Police Department**  
**201 W. Mission Street**  
**San José, CA 95110**

**Ofc. Anastasio Ramirez**  
**San José Police Department**  
**201 W. Mission Street**  
**San José, CA 95110**

Indeed, ALL of the officers who were there for me that night as well as their chain of command up to and including the City Council as constructed at the time should be proud of the performance of their officers on that night and are more than welcome to join me as a plaintiff in this suit. All I know is that one of them (it wouldn't surprise me if it was Anastasio Ramirez himself) finally figured it out and gave me the words I needed to hear that began the resuscitation. Pumping that confidence back into me with the words: ***"His only intention was to..!"*** THAT's what I needed to hear. It was pulling me out of it. I thought I was moving into safe harbor. Not knowing I already was.

I asked Deputy DA Marc Buller what the statute of limitations would be on framing an innocent officer with an assault and battery. "Probably three years .. could be longer.. depending on how it was done" he told me. On AUGUST 31, 2009 (Gary Graves' last day in office as County Executive) County Counsel sent me a letter prohibiting me from communicating with our District Attorney in any way whatever. The letter says this should be brought to the court's attention so I am: Exhibit CC-1.

**I want damages from the county for Chris and I want exemplary ones for him.**

Richard Doyle and Chief Davis are both a defendant AND a plaintiff. But more importantly a plaintiff. Both held office in May of 2006 (plaintiff) and 2007 (defendant). I saw Richard Doyle smiling after I gave my 2 minute speech to our City Council last Oct. 27. He could still join me as a plaintiff if he wishes (because he could easily apologise with an explanation for the response I received from their office 12/7/07 which could very easily and understandably turn out not to be his fault (I learned this one from my initial experience with the Sheriff's office).

---

**Richard Doyle, City Attorney**  
**200 East Santa Clara Street, 16th Fl.**  
**San José, CA 95113-1905**

---

**Sgt. Bruce Wiley, City Attorney's Office**  
**200 East Santa Clara Street, 16th Fl.**  
**San José, CA 95113-1905**

---

**Sgt. Bruce Wiley** is also invited to join me as a plaintiff. The report Chris wrote that he signed off on reflects to me how much he believed in Chris. I believe in Chris too. I heard a while back that he's taken over the handling this case for the city which pleases me also.

# The City of San José

as constructed regarding the events in my suit:

	invited plaintiffs	ostensible defendants	
<b>District</b>	<b>May 2006</b>	<b>May 2007</b>	<b>Present</b>
<b>1</b>	Linda J. LeZotte	Pete Constant	Pete Constant
<b>2</b>	Forrest Williams	Forrest Williams	<i>Ash Kalra</i> *
<b>3</b>	Cindy Chavez	Sam Liccardo	Sam Liccardo
<b>4</b>	Chuck Reed	(office vacant)	<i>Kansen Chu</i> *
<b>5</b>	Nora Campos	Nora Campos	Nora Campos
<b>6</b>	Ken Yeager	Pierluigi Oliverio	Pierluigi Oliverio
<b>7</b>	Terry O. Gregory	Madison Nguyen	Madison Nguyen
<b>8</b>	Dave Cortese	Dave Cortese	<i>Rose Herrera</i> *
<b>9</b>	Judy Chirco	Judy Chirco	Judy Chirco
<b>10</b>	Nancy Pyle	Nancy Pyle	Nancy Pyle
<b>Mayor</b>	Ron Gonzalez	Chuck Reed	Chuck Reed
<b>Manager</b>	Les White	Debra Figone	Debra Figone
<b>Chief</b>	Rob Davis	Rob Davis	Rob Davis
I don't have all their names yet of the chain of command down to me which I believe is: Assistant Chief, Deputy Chief, Captain, Lieutenant, Sergeant, Officer			
	<b>Ofc. Chris Bielecki</b> (honored guest) <b>Ofc. Anastasio Ramirez</b> (ibid) <b>And all the other officers gathered round that night</b> who were there for me that night and finally figured it out giving me the words I need to hear.	(I'll have to send this later. I expect the complete list of parties will be difficult to find)	

\*:*Rose Herrera, Kansen Chu, and Ash Kalra* are not a party to this suit (other than presently representing the City itself at this point in time and, it would seem, have a fiduciary interest in its outcome .. for better or worse). Anyway, if they'd like to join me as a plaintiff in any of the issues I'm raising in it they're certainly welcome to. And as such, it seems to make sense to notify them also of my Appeal.

# The City of San José

---

## Parties Emeritus

(who should be joining me as plaintiffs against the county)

Emeritus Mayor **Ron Gonzalez**  
c/o Mayor Chuck Reed  
**200 East Santa Clara St, 18th Floor**  
**San José, CA 95113**

Emeritus District 1 Councilmember  
**Linda LeZotte**  
**10 Almaden Blvd, Ste 1250**  
**San José, CA 95113**

Emeritus District 3 Councilmember  
**Cindy Chavez** c/o Sam Liccardo  
**200 East Santa Clara St, 18th Floor**  
**San José, CA 95113**

Emeritus District 6 Councilmember  
**Ken Yeager**  
**10th Floor - East Wing**  
**70 West Hedding Street**  
**San José, CA. 95110**

Emeritus District 8 Councilmember  
**Dave Cortese**  
**10th Floor - East Wing**  
**70 West Hedding Street**  
**San José, CA. 95110**

Emeritus City Manager **Les White**  
c/o Debra Figone, City Manager's Office  
**200 East Santa Clara St.**  
**San José, CA 95113**

Emeritus District 2 Councilmember  
**Forrest Williams**  
**6311 Culvert Ave.**  
**San José, CA 85123**

Emeritus District 4 Councilmember  
**Mayor Chuck Reed**  
**200 East Santa Clara St, 18th Floor**  
**San José, CA 95113**

Emeritus District 7 Councilmember  
**Terry O. Gregory**  
c/o Madison Nguyen  
**200 East Santa Clara St, 18th Floor**  
**San José, CA 95113**

---

## Parties Incumbent

(I hope they're still smiling)

**Pete Constant** (Council District 1)  
**200 East Santa Clara St, 18th Fl**  
**San José, CA 95113**

**Ash Kalra** (Council District 2)  
**200 East Santa Clara St, 18th Fl**  
**San José, CA 95113**

**Sam Liccardo** (Council District 3)  
**200 East Santa Clara St, 18th Fl**  
**San José, CA 95113**

**Kansen Chu** (Council District 4)  
**200 East Santa Clara St, 18th Fl**  
**San José, CA 95113**

**Nora Campos** (Council District 5)  
**200 East Santa Clara St, 18th Fl**  
**San José, CA 95113**

**Pierluigi Oliverio** (Council District 6)  
**200 East Santa Clara St, 18th Fl**  
**San José, CA 95113**

**Madison Nguyen** (Council District 7)  
**200 East Santa Clara St, 18th Fl**  
**San José, CA 95113**

**Rose Herrera** (Council District 8)  
**200 East Santa Clara St, 18th Fl**  
**San José, CA 95113**

**Judy Chirco** (Council District 9)  
**200 East Santa Clara St, 18th Fl**  
**San José, CA 95113**

**Nancy Pyle** (Council District 10)  
**200 East Santa Clara St, 18th Fl**  
**San José, CA 95113**

**Debra Figone** (City Manager)  
**200 East Santa Clara St, 18th Fl**  
**San José, CA 95113**

*"If the consent of any one who should have been joined as plaintiff cannot be obtained, he may be made a defendant.."*

## Insurance companies are victims also in this.

*And should be joining me as a plaintiff in this Appeal.*

*If they don't they're \*definitely\* a defendant. Because they're just continuing to "feed the problem".*

---

**Board of Directors of  
UnitedHealth Group (PacifiCare parent)  
P.O. Box 1459  
Minneapolis, MN 55440-1459**

**Board of Directors of  
WellPoint, Inc. (formerly Blue Cross)  
120 Monument Circle  
Indianapolis, IN 46204**

**America's Health Insurance Plans  
601 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW  
South Building, Suite 500  
Washington, DC 20004**

**California Association of Health Plans  
1415 L Street, Suite 850  
Sacramento, CA 95814**

---

### **Sponsors** (who should be joining me as a plaintiff)

*If it hadn't been for companies like Microsoft and Intel I'd never have been able to bring this suit at all. No poor person would have. As sponsors of these failing charities whose doors I have knocked upon, they should be joining me as a plaintiff and asking for their money back.*

I'm still waiting for that 'ProbonoMatch' lawyer I asked the SCCBA for. A lawyer who will help me without any expectation of any reward whatever. If only just telephone support. Giving me the competent and quick answers to the simple questions I have.

---

*ProbonoMatch sponsor:*

**Board of Directors of  
Fenwick & West  
801 California Street  
Mountain View, CA 94041**

*ProbonoMatch sponsor:*

**Board of Directors of  
Hewlett Packard  
3000 Hanover Street  
Palo Alto, CA 94304**

*ProbonoMatch sponsor:*

**Board of Directors of  
Intel Corporation  
2200 Mission College Blvd.  
Santa Clara, CA 95054-1549**

*Law Foundation sponsor:*

**Board of Directors of  
Microsoft Corporation  
One Microsoft Way  
Redmond, WA 98052-6399**

---

I remember seeing Bill Gates on "60 Minutes" explaining how he wants to see his charitable donations going to ones that *perform*. And seemed to want to make a point that this was important to him. Microsoft is listed as a sponsor of **The Law Foundation** -- whose doors I've knocked upon for assistance many many times (including in person) and have been continually ignored. Including while I was misdiagnosed into the locked suicide ward at El Camino Hospital billing me at \$3000/day and calling it "mental health". I called MHAP on the pamphlet I was given in hopes they'd help me get out. Some woman showed up, chatted amicably with me a few minutes and left. Never to be seen or heard from again. Never said to me something like "you want to get out of here Geoffrey?" or ask me why I called them. After I got out I called to ask for their assistance in my suit. Here's a sample:

*"We can't help you" -- David Carducci, "We don't do that" -- Maria Sferrazza*

If I were Bill Gates, I'd be joining me as a plaintiff and asking for my money back.

And if I were Jim Bower, I'd be returning my calls.

---

---

**The Federal Judiciary**  
c/o Maryann Buckley, Clerk  
US District Court  
450 Golden Gate Ave, 16th Floor  
San Francisco, CA 94102

I want a review of all of our nation's laws by the Federal Judiciary for any that prohibit its citizens from obtaining their secret medical records *at their pleasure and no one else's*. To wit: Section 1288 of the California Business and Professions Code.

---

**Hon. Eugene Hyman (Dept. 25)**  
Hall of Justice  
191 N. First Street  
San José, CA 95113

**Hon. Mary Ann Grilli (Dept. 15)**  
191 N. First Street  
San José, CA 95113

I was never brought before Judge Hyman in either May of 2006 or 2007. And very much wished to. I'd think he'd like to have been informed of this fact. I know \*I\* would had I been in his position. Because I'd be wanting to join me as a plaintiff in it. Judge Grilli presently oversees probate and for the same reason I'd think she'd want to be informed of what happened to me also. So she could monitor it. But, I'm learning that the only way I'm allowed to communicate with judges is by filing in the court itself.

Out of curiosity I sat in Judge Grilli's courtroom for a few minutes. And saw a courtroom packed with lawyers. The case I gather appeared to involve some pressing and serious end of life issues. I don't know how these judges can keep up with it all. Let alone have had time to read and understand *my* issue. Even if I \*had\* been brought before her. And it wouldn't surprise me one bit if Judge Hyman had the very same problem. It thrives on neglect.

---

**Congresswoman Zoe Lofgren**  
102 Cannon House Office Building  
Washington, D.C. 20515

*"She's good but she's slow"* I told Diego who handed me the clipboard with the request form to fill out as I sat in her office last Feb. 3 explaining why I have to sue her. Later I returned and dropped off one of the copies of the suit I picked up at the court with Mia who was more than happy to accept it. A week later I got a supportive letter from Zoe (always has been). Wishing me well and telling me she'd be sending me a list of law charities to contact that I'd find helpful. Which was nice of her to offer to do. I'm still waiting. A list with the *imprimatur* of her signature on it. And I'm still waiting for the PSI (headquartered in Tennessee) organisation chart I requested with all their names.

I forgot to ask who got the \$3590.25 I was never told about that was secretly paid without my consent. That I later discovered was paid by MEDI-CAL and sent to a Post Office Box in Dallas, Texas. If my Congresswoman can't answer these simple questions maybe one of my Senators can. And if they can't, I'd like to know why:

**Senator Dianne Feinstein**  
United States Senate  
331 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510

**Senator Barbara Boxer**  
United States Senate  
112 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, D.C. 20510

---

**Writ of Mandate:** Sorry I'm late (*seems Kim and Mukasey are no longer in office*):

---

**Wan Kim c/o Thomas E. Perez**  
Assistant Attorney General  
Civil Rights Division, U.S. Department of Justice  
950 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW  
Washington, DC 20530-0001

**Michael Mukasey c/o Eric Holder**  
Attorney General  
U.S. Department of Justice  
950 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW  
Washington, DC 20530-0001

---

*"who do you sue?" -- after they're out of office? If my experience with County Counsel is of any indication, I'll be expecting to see Eric Holder's name (but not his signature) on the correspondence defending them all.*

---

## More Failing Regulatory Bodies:

---

**Patients' Rights Advocates**  
954 60th St., Ste. 10  
Oakland, CA 94608

**California Office of Patients' Rights**  
100 Howe Ave., Suite 210N  
Sacramento, CA 95825

---

Bruce Copley (Deputy Director, County Mental Health Department) never told me about the Public Defender's role when I asked him what regulatory bodies oversee EPS. In fact, *no one* ever told me. I accidentally came across it in my research. I learned Nona Hughes was responsible for oversight of the situation there who confessed to me that this was something she was very uncomfortable talking about. And couldn't tell me the last time she inspected EPS. Later telling me that Malorie Street was responsible for it. I went over to the Public Defender's office personally and asked to talk to them. Nothing. And Mary Greenwood has as yet to return a single phone call.

**Mary J. Greenwood**  
Office of the Public Defender  
120 West Mission Street  
San José, CA 95110

**Nona Hughes**  
Office of the Public Defender  
120 West Mission Street  
San José, CA 95110

**Malorie Street**  
Office of the Public Defender  
120 West Mission Street  
San José, CA 95110

---

It's possible that they may well have no idea of what they're licensing:

---

**Board of Commissioners of  
The Joint Commission**  
c/o Harold Bressler, General Counsel  
One Renaissance Blvd.  
Oakbrook Terrace, IL 60181

**Stephen W. Mayberg, Ph.D., Director**  
California Department of Mental Health  
1600 9th Street, Room 151  
Sacramento, CA 95814

**California Board of Registered Nursing**  
P.O. Box 944210  
Sacramento, CA 94244-2100

**Medical Board of California**  
2005 Evergreen Street, Suite 1200  
Sacramento, CA 95815

---

I don't think there's anything mentally healthy about *any* of it.

---

**El Camino Hospital:** I think it's possible I might still be able to 'flip' El Camino Hospital (or possibly one of their Board members or maybe a few in their 'BHC' line of supervision) and get them acknowledge their problems (that are inexcusable for such a reputable hospital), fix them (starting with some basic listening skills that any competent mother knows how to do .. and actually take the time to do it), then join me as plaintiffs in this and actually come up with that promised mental health and provide me with the resources to prevail in this. I like Ken Graham. El Camino Hospital is a member of the American Hospital Association: I'd like to know where they stand in this issue also.

**The Board of Directors of  
El Camino Hospital**  
2500 Grant Rd  
Mountain View, CA 94040

**Board of Trustees of the  
American Hospital Association**  
325 Seventh Street, N.W.  
Washington, DC 20004

---

All I need is telephone support: quick answers to simple questions:

---

**The State Bar of California**  
180 Howard Street  
San Francisco, CA 94105

**The American Bar Association**  
321 North Clark Street  
Chicago, IL 60610

**Santa Clara County Bar Association**  
31 North Second Street, 4th Floor  
San José, California 95113

---

I'm way past all sorts of deadlines. And called and called. And still haven't come up with that pro-bono lawyer I've asked them for.

Neither has Probonomatch:

**Board of Directors (if it has one) of Probonomatch**  
31 North Second St., 4th Floor  
San José, CA 95113

I'm still waiting for a 'match'. Hana Callahan: it was nice while it lasted (about 5 or 10 minutes) helping me to understand the differences between the Bar Associations. Chris Burdick still hasn't returned my calls. The elevators were out went I tried to go over personally.

Neither have these. Also, I have some questions about the kinds of values they're teaching to their law students these days:

---

**Board of Trustees and Regents of Santa Clara University**  
500 El Camino Real  
Santa Clara, CA 95053-1500

**Board of Trustees of the Leland Stanford Junior University**  
Stanford, CA 94305-2038

---

I've knocked on all their doors. Zip. Stanford referred me to some community aid project that I got nowhere with. I learned I wouldn't even be able to talk to a lawyer (none returned my calls) if I showed up at the Alexander Law Center.

Boalt Hall: an \$803,000 cy près award for research into privacy issues which I have questions about involved in this case. And they had no interest whatever in trying to help me with it. "Good luck to you sir" David Grady told me sending me on my way. I had to call all over trying to find someone to explain it to. I wanted to know how much money was left so I'd know how much help I could expect. If any. I never did find out. I don't think they get it.

---

**Board of Regents of The University of California**  
1111 Franklin St., 8th Fl.  
Oakland, CA 94607

**Board of Directors of UC Hastings College of the Law**  
200 McAllister Street  
San Francisco, CA 94102

**Board of Trustees of the Lincoln Law School of San José**  
One North First Street  
San José, California 95113

---

Lincoln Law referred me to Legal Aid. That referred me to 211. Unreturned voice mail from Hastings.

Nor has the Law Foundation: "We can't help you" .. "We don't do that" ..

---

**Board of Directors of The Law Foundation of Silicon Valley**  
152 North Third Street, 3rd Floor  
San José, CA 95112

**Board of Directors of the The American Civil Liberties Union**  
39 Drumm Street  
San Francisco, CA 94111

The ACLU : if \*they\* don't join me as a plaintiff they're \*definitely\* a defendant.

---

I've believed in the ACLU all of my life. And learned it's a futile effort to try to call them and explain it to them or ask them for help. On their behalf I did finally find someone at one point in Oakland (I believe) who eventually returned a call and tried to be helpful explaining how one has to be brought before a judge in a 5150 but I didn't seem to be able to interest them much further. I knocked on their door at 111 Market St. here in San José and learned in a very brief conversation before they closed the door on me that they only market the idea of civil liberties. And had no interest in talking to me at all about anything further.

---

**Board of Directors of the Pro Bono Project**  
480 N. First Street  
San José, CA, 95112

Why can't I get 20 minutes of *telephone* support. There's no reason for me to have to spend all that time driving over there each time. "How do I sue a hospital?" I asked the last time. No answer, nothing, not even "I don't know". But 2 out of 3 isn't bad. Even though the first 2 couldn't answer all my questions, at least they tried. But there's no reason to have to drive over each time. For only 20 minutes.

"I don't think anyone who joins me as a plaintiff should have to pay \$320 to file a response" I told Judge Murphy.

I need a different type of summons for The Media. It's like the one I get for jury duty. It costs me nothing to respond to it. I'll probably have to make it up special and get the Court to approve it. It will have two boxes on it. All they need do is to check off the one marked "guilty as charged" and return it. Or ignore it totally and have a default judgment entered against them that they can no longer meet the standard expected of them. And that a name like "Edward R. Murrow" however revered and hallowed has truly become an anachronism to them.

379.5. *When parties have been joined under Section 378 or 379, the court may make such orders as may appear just to prevent any party from being embarrassed, delayed, or put to undue expense, and may order separate trials or make such other order as the interests of justice may require.*

Judge Cabrinha could farm this out to "Judge Judy" or a moot court somewhere for the *Judgment*. And I see nothing wrong whatever in embarrassing them to "*do better*". The operative word is 'may'. And the court may do as it wishes here. And I'm inclined to believe Judge Cabrinha would allow it.

## The Media

I seek a simple non-monetary *Judgment* on their performance. They could easily comprise another "failing regulatory body". E.g. why all I could find on the Internet about my initial issue were the Grand Jury Reports. That no one seems to know anything about. Also, I have some questions about the state of courageousness in the media today. E.g. have they all become "sue shy"? Has it altered the message from the truth in any fashion? "*You could get sued*" (I've heard it myself). I heard Elizabeth Kearney, Ph.D. in one of her lectures commenting about how publishers fear it. What's the cure for "*a bunch of pusillanimous yellow-bellied lily-livered namby pambys trembling in fear of being sued by their own shadow*" How do you plead? "**Not guilty!, your honor**". Well then, you'll have to prove to the court's satisfaction something you've done that's been courageous (and thanks for *showing an interest* Judge Judy, it meant a lot to me).

---

**Board of Directors of  
Cox Enterprises, Inc.**  
(owners of KTVU-TV Channel 2)  
6205 Peachtree Dunwoody Road  
Atlanta, Georgia 30328

**Board of Directors of  
Young Broadcasting Inc**  
(owners of KRON 4)  
599 Lexington Avenue  
New York, NY 10022

**Board of Directors of  
The Walt Disney Company**  
(owners of KGO-TV Channel 7)  
500 South Buena Vista Street  
Burbank, California 91521-0931

**Board of Directors of  
The CBS Corporation**  
(owners of KPIX-TV Channel 5)  
51 West 52nd Street  
New York, NY 10019-6188

**Board of Directors of  
KQED-TV (Channel 9)**  
2601 Mariposa Street  
San Francisco, CA 94110

**Board of Directors of  
NBC Universal**  
(owners of KNTV 11)  
100 Universal City Plaza  
Universal City, CA 91608

**Board of Directors of MediaNews Group**  
(owners of the Mercury News)  
101 W. Colfax Avenue, Suite 1100  
Denver, Colorado 80202

**Board of Directors of The Hearst Corporation**  
(owners of the San Francisco Chronicle)  
959 8th Ave.  
New York, NY 10019

---

Samples of some locally owned newspapers here:

---

**William Bellou, Publisher/CEO**  
Times Media, Inc.  
1310 Tully Road, Suite 112  
San José, CA 95122

**Bruce B. Brugmann, Publisher**  
The San Francisco Bay Guardian  
135 Mississippi St.  
San Francisco, CA 94107

**Paul Nyberg**  
Los Altos Town Crier  
138 Main Street  
Los Altos, CA, 94022

---

(apologies to anyone I've left out, I know the list is incomplete, it's the best sample I can collect at the moment)

---

And many many more to follow. Who's names will be found upon the organisation charts I've requested with unambiguous lines of supervision when (and if) I ever receive them. Listing the names of all those accountable for what's been done to me and my class of litigants unrepresented simply because they can't afford it. A subclass of which my suit originated in: *LPS "mental health" malpractice victims*. Like all the rest in their greater class of unpaid plaintiffs who's monies and empowerment have been diverted to inappropriate recipients telling me (e.g.):

"Good luck to you sir" (sending me on my way) .. "We can't help you" .. "Send a letter" (too many to list at the moment with the deadlines I have) .. "We don't do that" .. "It means you have to leave" (unknown woman in reception area outside city attorney's office) .. or dumped into unreturned voice mail after voice mail. And referred and referred or find themselves escorted out without explanation after making notes of it all at the Self-Service Center and asking for names including those of who's running it and if they're in the building which I never discovered. I wait in line for the teller only to hear something about having to climb up the ladder like everyone else. A deputy appears quickly escorting me out. Later I tried calling the number on the business card I was given with someone else's name and phone number on it. Which on the back the teller wrote another number to call. Which I also did. Fruitlessly..

Section 384: "paved with good intentions" -- has created a class of unpaid plaintiffs.

As have all the rest in their class of litigants who are unrepresented simply because they can't afford it. Someone could have easily already won a class-action suit on my original mental health issue that I've never been told about. And if not, at the very least, I want the balance that has been improperly diverted by Section 384 returned. I want my "Law Stamps". Pure and simple. It's *our* money anyway. That's due us for services promised and never rendered.

I don't need a lot. Just telephone support would suffice for the most part. In total I don't see it amounting to more than a few hours. And I don't see any reason whatever why I (or anyone else in my class) should have to wait any longer for it. And I want my costs to recover it.

I want the cy près distributions published (along with all the rest of it: IOLTA .. grants .. trusts .. et. al. I've never been told about). I want the cy près claim form and the name of the claim's administrator.

I want our money back. Because the only way I'm going to get *my* money back is when everyone else in my class does. And become empowered by it.

## Law Stamps

"Why didn't **you** think of that?" I should have responded to Judge Cabrinha telling me he's never heard of it. I suggested it to Bonnie Hough a year ago. Explaining how effective Food Stamps are in helping poor people solve the problem (who would starve if they all had to rely on charity food kitchens). "I see what you mean" Judge Cabrinha responded. I thought our county Bar President said it a lot better "**You make a ton of sense**" (Jil Dalesandro). "Good luck there" were the words Judge Cabrinha left me with.

I think I have a good case .. "*dismissed with prejudice*" -- and ex-parte in my absence and on a day I wouldn't have been able to make it anyway. It would make *anyone* mentally ill. And I'm late. Very late..

"**When are you going to do that?**" Judge Cabrinha demanded (and thanks for the accommodation -- "*I'm moving...*") who gave me until Dec. 4 complete the filing of my Second Amended Complaint. In which time I have to complete the application for my Appeal also and fill out many many forms. And my capacities (I'm still attempting to recover) are limited and ebb and flow with the supportiveness of the environment I'm surrounded with. I could use some help and encouragement here..

**"When are you going to do that?"**

The only way practical way I know how to serve everyone is with a complex designation. Which I've been denied -- another item in my Appeal. So I'm struggling to put together a crude website to keep everyone informed:

publicforall.com

Geoffrey Mangers